arXiv:1702.08841v1 [cs.LO] 28 Feb 2017

Quantifiers on languages and codensity monads*

Mai Gehrke, Daniela Petrişan and Luca Reggio

IRIF, CNRS and Université Paris Diderot, France

Contents

1 Introduction **2**
1.1 Duality for language recognition . . . 2
1.2 Profinite monads . . . 4
1.3 Contributions . . . 5

2 Preliminaries **5**
2.1 Logic on words . . . 5
2.2 Stone duality and the Vietoris hyperspace . . . 6
2.3 Boolean spaces with internal monoids . . . 6
2.4 Monads and algebras . . . 7
2.5 Profinite monads . . . 8

3 Extending set monads to BiMs **10**

4 Extending the free semimodule monad to BiMs **13**
4.1 $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}X$ as the space of measures on X . . . 13
4.2 The algebraic structure on $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}$ . . . 15
4.3 The idempotent case and a generalisation of Vietoris . . . 16

5 Recognisers for operations given by S-valued transductions **18**
5.1 Recognising operations modelled by a monad T . . . 18
5.2 Recognising quantified languages via S-transductions . . . 20

6 Duality-theoretic account of the construction **22**
6.1 The space $\Diamond X$ by duality . . . 23
6.2 The internal monoid structure of $\Diamond X$ by duality . . . 24
6.3 A Reutenauer theorem for $\Diamond X$ . . . 27

7 Conclusion **28**

*This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No.670624). The third author also acknowledges financial support from Sorbonne Paris Cité (PhD agreement USPC IDEX – REGGI15RDXMTSPC1GEHRKE).

Abstract

This paper contributes to the techniques of topo-algebraic recognition for languages beyond the regular setting as they relate to logic on words. In particular, we provide a general construction on recognisers corresponding to adding one layer of various kinds of quantifiers and prove a related Reutenauer-type theorem. Our main tools are codensity monads and duality theory. Our construction yields, in particular, a new characterisation of the profinite monad of the free S-semimodule monad for finite and commutative semirings S, which generalises our earlier insight that the Vietoris monad on Boolean spaces is the codensity monad of the finite powerset functor.

1 Introduction

It is well known that the combinatorial property of a language of being given by a star-free regular expression can be described both by algebraic and by logical means. Indeed, on the algebraic side, the star-free languages are exactly those languages whose syntactic monoids do not contain any non-trivial subgroup. On the logical side, properties of words can be expressed in predicate logic by considering variables as positions in the word, relation symbols asserting that a position in a word has a certain letter of the alphabet, and possibly additional predicates on positions (known as numerical predicates). As shown by McNaughton and Papert in [15], the class of languages definable by first-order sentences over the numerical predicate $<$ consists precisely of the star-free ones.

The theory of formal languages abounds with such results showing the strong interplay between logic and algebra. For instance, Straubing, Thérien, and Thomas introduced in [21] a class of additional quantifiers, the so-called modular quantifiers $\exists_{p \bmod q}$. (Recall that a word satisfies a formula $\exists_{p \bmod q} x.\varphi(x)$ provided the number of positions x for which $\varphi(x)$ holds is congruent to p modulo q). There it is showed for example that the languages definable using modular quantifiers of modulus q are exactly the languages whose syntactic monoids are solvable groups of cardinality dividing a power of q.

Studying modular quantifiers is relevant for tackling open problems in Boolean circuit complexity, see for example [20] for a discussion. Since Boolean circuit classes contain non-regular languages, expanding the automata theoretic techniques beyond the regular setting is also relevant for addressing these problems.

A fundamental tool in studying the connection between algebra and logic in this setting is the availability of constructions on monoids which mirror the action of quantifiers. That is, given the syntactic monoid for a language with a free variable one wants to construct a monoid which recognises the quantified language. Constructions of this type abound, and are all versions of semidirect products, with the block product playing a central rôle as it allows one to construct recognisers for many different quantifiers [23].

The purpose of this paper is to expand these techniques and provide the algebraic characterisation of adding one layer of various kinds of quantifiers, beyond the regular setting. A first step was our previous paper [10], where a) we introduce a topological notion of recogniser, that will be motivated in the next subsection, and b) we give a notion of unary Schützenberger product that corresponds, on the recogniser side, to the existential quantifier for arbitrary languages of words.

In Sections 1.1 we provide a gentle introduction and motivate the duality-theoretic approach to language recognition. In Section 1.2 we present codensity monads, our tool of choice for systematically obtaining the relevant topological constructions, and we briefly discuss related work. Finally in Section 1.3 we present the main contributions of this paper.

1.1 Duality for language recognition

Stone duality plays an important rôle and has a long tradition in many areas of semantics, domain theory and logic. Yet, only recently, starting with the papers [8, 9], the deep connection between this field and formal language theory started to emerge. In these papers a new notion of language recognition, based on topological methods, was proposed for the setting of non-regular languages. Moreover, the scene was set for a new duality-theoretic understanding of the celebrated Eilenberg-Reiterman theorems, establishing a connection between varieties of languages, pseudo-varieties of finite algebras and profinite equations. This led

to an active research area where categorical and duality-theoretic methods are used to encompass notions of language recognition for various automata models, see for example the monadic approach to language recognition put forward by Bojańczyk [5], or the long series of papers on a category-theoretic approach to Eilenberg-Reiterman theory ([3] and references herein).

Let us illustrate the interplay between duality theory and the theory of regular languages by explaining the duality between the syntactic monoid of a regular language L on a finite alphabet A, and the Boolean subalgebra $\mathcal{B} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}(A^*)$ generated by the quotients of L, i.e. by the sets

$$w^{-1}Lv^{-1} = \{u \in A^* \mid wuv \in L\}$$

for $w, v \in A^*$. In this setting one makes use only of the finite duality between the category of finite Boolean algebras and the category of finite sets which, at the level of objects, asserts that each finite Boolean algebra is isomorphic to the powerset of its atoms.

Since the language L is regular, it has only finitely many quotients, say

$$\{w_1^{-1}Lv_1^{-1}, \ldots, w_n^{-1}Lv_n^{-1}\}.$$

The finite Boolean algebra generated by this set has as atoms the non-empty subsets of A^* of the form

$$\bigcap_{i\in I} w_i^{-1}Lv_i^{-1} \cap \bigcap_{j\in J} (w_j^{-1}Lv_j^{-1})^c$$

for some partition $I \cup J$ of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. We clearly see that such atoms are in one-to-one correspondence with the equivalence classes of the Myhill syntactic congruence $\sim_L$, and thus with the elements of the syntactic monoid $A^*/\sim_L$ of L.

However, the more interesting aspect of this approach is that one can also explain the monoid action on $A^*/\sim_L$ and the syntactic morphism in duality-theoretic terms. For this, we have to recall first the duality between the category of sets and the category of complete atomic Boolean algebras. At the level of objects, every complete atomic Boolean algebra is isomorphic to the powerset of its atoms. So the dual of A^* is $\mathcal{P}(A^*)$, but the duality also tells us that quotients on one side are turned into embeddings on the other. Thus, we have the duality between the following morphisms

$$\mathcal{B} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}(A^*) \qquad | \qquad A^* \twoheadrightarrow A^*/\sim_L.$$

Also, the left action of A^* on itself given by appending a word w on the left corresponds, on the dual side, to a left quotient operation (which is technically a right action):

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathcal{P}(A^*) & \xrightarrow{\Lambda_w} & \mathcal{P}(A^*) \\ U & \longmapsto & w^{-1}U \end{array} \qquad | \qquad \begin{array}{rcl} A^* & \xrightarrow{l_w} & A^* \\ v & \longmapsto & wv \end{array}.$$

Since the Boolean algebra $\mathcal{B}$ is closed under quotients, and thus we have commuting squares as the left one in diagram (1), by duality we obtain a left action of A^* on $A^*/\sim_L$. By an analogous argument, one also obtains a right action of A^* on $A^*/\sim_L$ and the two actions commute. It is a simple lemma, see [10], that since $A^*/\sim_L$ is a quotient of A^* and it is equipped with commuting left and right A^*-actions (called in loc. cit. an A^*-*biaction*), then one can define a monoid multiplication on $A^*/\sim_L$ so that the quotient $A^* \twoheadrightarrow A^*/\sim_L$ is a monoid morphism.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{B} & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{P}(A^*) \\ {\scriptstyle \Lambda_w}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Lambda_w} \\ \mathcal{B} & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{P}(A^*) \end{array} \qquad | \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} A^* & \twoheadrightarrow & A^*/\sim_L \\ {\scriptstyle l_w}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle l_w} \\ A^* & \twoheadrightarrow & A^*/\sim_L \end{array} \tag{1}$$

This approach paves the way for understanding a right notion of recogniser and syntactic object for a non-regular language L. In this case, the Boolean algebra $\mathcal{B}$ spanned by the quotients of L is no longer finite, so the

finite duality theorems we have employed previously are no longer applicable. Instead, we use the full power of Stone duality, which establishes the dual equivalence between the category of Boolean algebras and the category BStone of Boolean (Stone) spaces, that is, compact Hausdorff topological spaces with an additional property, zero-dimensionality. In this setting, the dual of $\mathcal{P}(A^*)$ is the Stone-Čech compactification $\beta(A^*)$ of the discrete space A^*. The embedding of $\mathcal{B}$ into $\mathcal{P}(A^*)$ is turned by the duality theorem into a quotient of topological spaces, where we denote the dual of $\mathcal{B}$ by X.

$$\mathcal{B} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}(A^*) \qquad | \qquad \beta(A^*) \twoheadrightarrow X$$

The syntactic monoid of the language L, now infinite, can be seen as a dense subset of X, and is indeed the image of the composite map $A^* \hookrightarrow \beta(A^*) \twoheadrightarrow X$ where the first arrow is the embedding of A^* in its Stone-Čech compactification. We thus obtain a commuting diagram as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \beta(A^*) & \twoheadrightarrow & X \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ A^* & \twoheadrightarrow & A^*/{\sim_L} \end{array}$$

Furthermore, one can show that the syntactic monoid acts (continuously) on X both on the left and right, and these actions commute. This situation has lead us to axiomatise, in [10], the definition of a *Boolean space with an internal monoid* (BiM) as a suitable notion for language recognition beyond the regular setting. We recall this (in fact a small variation of it) in Definition 2.1.

1.2 Profinite monads

Profinite methods have a long tradition in language theory, see for example [4]. To accommodate these tools in his monadic approach to language recognition, Bojańczyk [5] has recently introduced a construction transforming a monad T on Set (the category of sets and functions) into a so-called *profinite monad*, again on the category of sets, which allowed him to study in this generic framework the profinite version of the objects modelled by T, such as profinite words, profinite countable chains and profinite trees.

A very much related construction of a *profinite monad of* T was introduced in [2], this time as a monad on the category of Boolean spaces, obtained as a so-called *codensity monad* for a functor from the category of finitely carried T-algebras to Boolean spaces, that we describe in the next section.

The codensity monad is a very standard construction in category theory, going back to the work of Kock in the 60s. It is well known that any right adjoint functor G induces a monad obtained by composition with its left adjoint, and this is exactly the codensity monad of G. In general, the codensity monad of a functor which is not necessarily right adjoint, provided it exists, is the best approximation to this phenomenon. For example the codensity monad of the forgetful functor $|-|\colon \mathsf{BStone} \to \mathsf{Set}$ on Boolean spaces is the ultrafilter monad on Set obtained by composition with its left adjoint $\beta\colon \mathsf{Set} \to \mathsf{BStone}$. The same monad has yet another description as a codensity monad, this time for the inclusion of the category Set_f of finite sets into Set, a fact proved in [11] and recently revisited in the elegant paper [13].

The departing point of the present paper is the observation that the unary Schützenberger product $(\Diamond X, \Diamond M)$ of a BiM (X, M) from our paper [10] hinges, at a deeper level, on the fact that the *Vietoris monad* $\mathcal{V}$ on the category of Boolean spaces (which is heavily featured in that construction) is the profinite monad of the finite powerset monad $\mathcal{P}_f$ on Set. Recall that any Boolean space X is the cofiltered (or inverse) limit of its finite quotients X_i. Then one can check that the Vietoris space $\mathcal{V}X$ can be obtained as the cofiltered limit of the finite sets $\mathcal{P}_f X_i$.

In order to find suitable recognisers for languages quantified by, e.g., modular existential quantifiers, we need a slightly different construction than $(\Diamond X, \Diamond M)$ of [10]. Specifically, we observe that the semantics of these quantifiers can be modelled, at least at the level of finite monoids, by the free S-semimodule monad $\mathcal{S}$, for a suitable choice of the semiring S. It should be noted that $\mathcal{P}_f$ is also an instance of the free S-semimodule monad, for the Boolean semiring 2. To obtain corresponding constructions at the level of Boolean spaces with internal monoids,

one needs to understand the analogue of the Vietoris construction for the monad $\mathcal{S}$. And the obvious candidate, from a category-theoretic perspective, is the codensity monad of $\mathcal{S}$.

1.3 Contributions

This paper contributes to the connection between the topological approach to language recognition and logical formalisms beyond the setting of regular languages, and furthers, along the way, the study of profinite monads. The main result of Section 3 allows one to extend finitary commutative Set-monads to the category of Boolean spaces with internal monoids. A particular instance of this result is presented in Section 4, where duality-theoretic insights are used to provide a concrete and very useful description of the constructions involved in terms of measure-like functions. In Section 5 we develop a generic approach for mirroring operations on languages, such as modular quantifiers, associating to a BiM (X, M) a new BiM $(\Diamond X, \Diamond M)$, while Section 6 explains how these constructions are indeed canonical and provides a Reutenauer-like result characterising the Boolean algebra closed under quotients generated by the languages recognised by $(\Diamond X, \Diamond M)$.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Logic on words

Fix an arbitrary finite set A, and write A^* for the free monoid over A. In the logical approach to language theory a word w over the alphabet A (an *A-word*, for short), i.e. an element of A^*, is regarded as a (relational) structure on the set $\{1, \dots, |w|\}$, where $|w|$ denotes the length of the word, equipped with a unary relation P_a for each $a \in A$ which singles out the positions in the word where the letter a appears. If φ is a sentence (i.e. a formula in which every variable is in the scope of a quantifier) in a language interpretable over words, we denote by L_φ the set of words satisfying φ.

Assume now $\varphi(x)$ is a formula with a free first-order variable x (intuitively this means that $\varphi(x)$ can talk about positions in the word). In order to be able to interpret the free variable, we consider an extended alphabet $A \times 2$ which we think of as consisting of two copies of A, that is, we identify $A \times 2$ with the set $A \cup \{a' \mid a \in A\}$, and we call the elements of the second copy of A *marked letters*. Assuming $w = a_1 \dots a_n$ and $1 \leq i \leq |w|$, we write $w^{(i)}$ for the word $a_1 \dots a_{i-1} a_i' a_{i+1} \dots a_n$, i.e. for the word in $(A \times 2)^*$ having the same shape as w but with the letter in position i marked, and w^0 for the word $a_1 \dots a_n$ seen as a word in $(A \times 2)^*$. Then we define $L_{\varphi(x)}$ as the set of all words in the alphabet $A \times 2$ *with only one marked letter* such that the underlying word in the alphabet A satisfies φ when the variable x points at the marked position.

Now, given $L \subseteq (A \times 2)^*$, denote by $L_\exists$ the language consisting of those words $w = a_1 \dots a_n$ over A such that there exists $1 \leq i \leq |w|$ with $a_1 \dots a_{i-1} a_i' a_{i+1} \dots a_n \in L$. Observe that $L = L_{\varphi(x)}$ entails $L_\exists = L_{\exists x.\varphi(x)}$, thus recovering the usual existential quantification.

Among the generalizations of the existential quantifier are the *modular quantifiers*. Consider the ring $\mathbb{Z}_q$ of integers modulo q, and pick $p \in \mathbb{Z}_q$. We say that an A-word w satisfies the sentence $\exists_{p \bmod q} x.\varphi(x)$ if there exist p modulo q positions in w for which the formula $\varphi(x)$ holds. Moreover, for an arbitrary language $L \subseteq (A \times 2)^*$, we define $L_{\exists_{p \bmod q}}$ as the set of A-words $w = a_1 \dots a_n$ such that the cardinality of the set

$$\{1 \leq i \leq |w| \mid a_1 \dots a_{i-1} a_i' a_{i+1} \dots a_n \in L\} \tag{2}$$

is congruent to p modulo q. Clearly, if the language L is defined by the formula $\varphi(x)$, then $L_{\exists_{p \bmod q}}$ is defined by the formula $\exists_{p \bmod q} x.\varphi(x)$.

Finally, generalising the preceding situations, we can consider an arbitrary semiring $(S, +, \cdot, 0_S, 1_S)$ and an element $k \in S$. For $L \subseteq (A \times 2)^*$, an A-word $w = a_1 \dots a_n$ belongs to the quantified language, denoted by $\mathcal{Q}_k(L)$, provided that

$$\underbrace{1_S + \dots + 1_S}_{m \text{ times}} = k,$$

where m is the cardinality of the set in (2).

2.2 Stone duality and the Vietoris hyperspace

Stone duality for Boolean algebras [19] establishes a categorical equivalence between the category of Boolean algebras (BAs for short) and their homomorphisms, and the opposite of the category BStone of Boolean (Stone) spaces and continuous maps between them.

A *Boolean space* is a compact Hausdorff space that admits a basis of clopen (=simultaneously closed and open) subsets. There is an obvious forgetful functor $|-|\colon \mathsf{BStone} \to \mathsf{Set}$. When clear from the context, we will omit writing $|-|$.

The dual of the Boolean space X is the Boolean algebra $\mathit{Clop}(X)$ of its clopen subsets, equipped with set-theoretic operations. Conversely, given a Boolean algebra B, the dual space X may be taken either as the set of ultrafilters on B (i.e. those proper filters F satisfying $a \in F$ or $\neg a \in F$ for every $a \in B$) or as the Boolean algebra homomorphisms $h\colon B \to 2$ equipped with the topology generated by the sets

$$\widehat{a} := \{F \mid a \in F\} \cong \{h \mid h(a) = 1\}, \text{ for } a \in B.$$

An example of Boolean space, central to our treatment, is the *Stone-Čech compactification* of an arbitrary set K. This is the dual space of the Boolean algebra $\mathcal{P}K$, and is denoted by βK. It is well known that the assignment $K \mapsto \beta K$ induces a functor $\beta\colon \mathsf{Set} \to \mathsf{BStone}$ that is left adjoint to the forgetful functor $|-|\colon \mathsf{BStone} \to \mathsf{Set}$. Another functor, which played a key rôle in [10] and will serve here as a leading example, is the *Vietoris functor* $\mathcal{V}\colon \mathsf{BStone} \to \mathsf{BStone}$. Given a Boolean space X, consider the collection $\mathcal{V}X$ of all closed subsets of X equipped with the topology generated by the clopen subbasis

$$\{\Diamond V \mid V \in \mathit{Clop}(X)\} \cup \{(\Diamond V)^c \mid V \in \mathit{Clop}(X)\},$$

where $\Diamond V := \{K \in \mathcal{V}X \mid K \cap V \neq \emptyset\}$. The resulting space is called the *Vietoris (hyper)space* of X, and is again a Boolean space. Further, if $f\colon X \to Y$ is a morphism in BStone, then so is the direct image function $\mathcal{V}X \to \mathcal{V}Y,\ K \mapsto f[K]$. In fact, it is well known that this is the functor part of a monad $\mathcal{V}$ on BStone. The Vietoris hyperspace of an arbitrary topological space was first introduced by Vietoris in 1923; for a complete account, including results stated here without proof, see [16].

2.3 Boolean spaces with internal monoids

In this section we give the definition of a *Boolean space with an internal monoid*, or BiM for short (see Definition 2.1 below), a topological recogniser well-suited for dealing with non-regular languages. In [10] a *Boolean space with an internal monoid* was defined as a pair (X, M) consisting of a Boolean space X, a dense subspace M equipped with a monoid structure, and a *biaction* (=pair of compatible left and right actions) of M on X with continuous components extending the obvious biaction of M on itself. Here we use a small variation and simplification of this notion. Instead of imposing that the monoid is a dense subset of the space, we require a map from the monoid to the space with dense image.

In what follows, for a Boolean space X we will denote by $[X, X]$ the set of continuous endofunctions on X, which comes with the obvious monoid multiplication $\circ$ given by composition. Given a monoid $(M, \cdot)$, we will denote by $r\colon M \to M^M$ and $l\colon M \to M^M$ the two maps induced from the monoid multiplication via currying, which correspond to the obvious right, respectively left action of M on itself.

Definition 2.1. *A* Boolean space with an internal monoid*, or a* BiM*, is a tuple (X, M, h, ρ, λ), where X is a Boolean space, M is a monoid, $h\colon M \to X$, $\lambda\colon M \to [X, X]$ and $\rho\colon M \to [X, X]$ are functions such that h has a dense image and for all $m \in M$ the following diagrams commute in* Set.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{h} & X \\ {\scriptstyle r(m)}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho(m)} \\ M & \xrightarrow{h} & X \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{h} & X \\ {\scriptstyle l(m)}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \lambda(m)} \\ M & \xrightarrow{h} & X \end{array} \tag{3}$$

If no confusion arises, we write (X, M), or even just X, for the BiM *(X, M, h, ρ, λ). A* morphism *between two* BiM*s X and X' is a pair $(\tilde{\psi}, \psi)$ where $\tilde{\psi}\colon X \to X'$ is a continuous map and $\psi\colon M \to M'$ is a monoid*

morphism such that $\tilde{\psi}h = h'\psi$. *Note that since the image of* M *is dense in* X, *given* ψ, $\tilde{\psi}$ *is uniquely determined if it exists. Accordingly, we will sometimes just write* ψ *to designate the pair as well as each of its components. We denote the ensuing category of* BiM*s by* BiM.

Remark 2.2. *From the above definition it follows that* ρ *and* λ *induce in fact commuting right and left* M*-actions on* X, *so that* h *is an* M*-biaction morphism. Therefore* $(X, \mathsf{Im}(h))$ *is a Boolean space with an internal monoid exactly as defined in [10].*

Remark 2.3. *An equivalent way of saying that diagrams* (3) *commute for all* $m \in M$ *is to say that the following diagrams commute in* Set.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [X,X] & \xrightarrow{-\circ h} & X^M \\ {\scriptstyle\rho}\uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle h\circ -} \\ M & \xrightarrow{r} & M^M \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} [X,X] & \xrightarrow{-\circ h} & X^M \\ {\scriptstyle\lambda}\uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle h\circ -} \\ M & \xrightarrow{l} & M^M \end{array} \tag{4}$$

This will come handy in the proof of Theorem 3.1.

To conclude, we recall the associated notion of recognition. Under the bijection between subsets of a given set K and clopens of its Stone-Čech compactification βK, we write $\widehat{L}$ for the clopen associated to the subset $L \in \mathcal{P}K$.

Definition 2.4. *Let* A *be a finite alphabet, and* $L \in \mathcal{P}(A^*)$. *A morphism of* BiM*s* $\psi\colon (\beta(A^*), A^*) \to (X, M)$ recognises *the language* L *if there is a clopen* $C \subseteq X$ *such that* $\psi^{-1}(C) = \widehat{L}$. *Moreover, we say that the* BiM (X, M) *recognises the language* L *if there exists a* BiM *morphism* $(\beta(A^*), A^*) \to (X, M)$ *recognising* L. *Finally, if* $\mathcal{B} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}(A^*)$ *is a Boolean subalgebra, the* BiM (X, M) *is said to recognise* $\mathcal{B}$ *provided that it recognises each* $L \in \mathcal{B}$.

2.4 Monads and algebras

We assume the reader is familiar with the basic notions of category theory, and especially with monads as a categorical approach to general algebra. Concerning the latter, we refer the reader to, e.g., [14, Chapter VI] or [6, Chapters 3,4].

Consider a monad (T, η, μ) on a category $\mathcal{C}$. Recall that an *Eilenberg-Moore algebra* for the monad T (or a T*-algebra*, for short) is a pair (X, h) where X is an object of $\mathcal{C}$ and $h\colon TX \to X$ is a morphism in $\mathcal{C}$ which behaves well with respect to the unit η and the multiplication μ of the monad, that is, $h \circ \eta_X = \mathsf{id}_X$ and $h \circ Th = h \circ \mu_X$. A *morphism* of T-algebras $(X_1, h_1) \to (X_2, h_2)$ is a morphism $f\colon X_1 \to X_2$ in $\mathcal{C}$ satisfying $f \circ h_1 = h_2 \circ Tf$. Let $\mathcal{C}^T$ denote the category of T-algebras. When T is a monad on the category Set of sets and functions, categories of the form Set^T are, up to equivalence, precisely the varieties of (possibly infinite arity) algebras. This correspondence restricts to categories of Eilenberg-Moore algebras for *finitary* monads (=monads preserving filtered colimits) and varieties of algebras in types consisting of finite arity operations. An algebra (X, h) is said to be *finitely carried* (or sometimes just *finite*) provided X is finite. We write Set^T_f for the full subcategory of Set^T on the finitely carried objects. The forgetful functor $\mathsf{Set}^T \to \mathsf{Set}$ that sends (X, h) to X restricts to the finitely carried algebras, and gives rise to a functor $\mathsf{Set}^T_f \to \mathsf{Set}_f$.

In Section 5.2 we shall see how several logical quantifiers can be modelled by considering modules over a semiring and the appropriate profinite monad. Recall that a *semiring* is a tuple $(S, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$ such that $(S, +, 0)$ is a commutative monoid, $(S, \cdot, 1)$ is a monoid, the operation $\cdot$ distributes over $+$, and $0 \cdot s = 0 = s \cdot 0$ for all $s \in S$. If no confusion arises, we will denote the semiring by S only.

Example 2.5. *A semiring* S *induces a functor* $\mathcal{S}\colon \mathsf{Set} \to \mathsf{Set}$ *which associates to a set* X *the set of all functions* $X \to S$ *with* finite support, *that is*

$$\mathcal{S}X := \{f\colon X \to S \mid f(x) = 0 \text{ for all but finitely many } x \in X\}.$$

If $\psi\colon X \to Y$ is any function, define $\mathcal{S}\psi\colon \mathcal{S}X \to \mathcal{S}Y$ as $f \mapsto (y \mapsto \sum_{\psi(x)=y} f(x))$. Any element $f \in \mathcal{S}X$ can be represented as a formal sum $\sum_{i=1}^n s_i x_i$, where $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ is the support of f and $s_i = f(x_i)$ for each i. The functor $\mathcal{S}$ is part of a monad $(\mathcal{S}, \eta, \mu)$ on Set*, called the* free S-semimodule monad*, whose unit is*

$$\eta_X\colon X \to \mathcal{S}X,\ \ \eta_X(x)(x') = 1 \text{ if } x' = x \text{ and } 0 \text{ otherwise},$$

and whose multiplication is

$$\mu_X\colon \mathcal{S}^2 X \to \mathcal{S}X,\ \ \sum_{i=1}^n s_i f_i \mapsto \left(x \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n s_i f_i(x)\right).$$

The category $\mathsf{Set}^{\mathcal{S}}$ is the category of modules over the semiring S. E.g., if S is the Boolean semiring 2 then $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{P}_f$ (the finite powerset monad), whose Eilenberg-Moore algebras are join semilattices. If S is $(\mathbb{N}, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$ or $(\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$, then the algebras for the monad $\mathcal{S}$ are, respectively, Abelian monoids and Abelian groups.

2.5 Profinite monads

Throughout this subsection we fix a monad T on Set. We begin by recalling the definition of the associated *profinite monad* $\widehat{T}$ on the category of Boolean spaces, following [2]. First we provide an intuitive idea of the construction, and then we give the formal definition. Given a Boolean space X, one considers all continuous maps $h_i\colon X \to Y_i$ where the Y_i's are finite sets equipped with Eilenberg-Moore algebra structures $\alpha_i\colon TY_i \to Y_i$, as well as all the algebra morphisms $u_{ij}\colon Y_i \to Y_j$ satisfying $u_{ij} \circ h_i = h_j$. Equipping the finite sets Y_i with the discrete topology, one obtains a cofiltered diagram, or an inverse limit system $\mathcal{D}_X$ in BStone, and we set $\widehat{T}X$ to be its limit. It turns out that $\widehat{T}$ is the underlying functor of a monad $(\widehat{T}, \widehat{\eta}, \widehat{\mu})$ on BStone, called the *profinite monad associated to* T. For example, it is very easy to see how to obtain its unit $\widehat{\eta}_X$ from the universal property of the limit, as in the following diagram.



To give the formal definition of $\widehat{T}$, we introduce the functor $G\colon \mathsf{Set}_f^T \to \mathsf{BStone}$ obtained as the composition of the forgetful functor to Set_f with the embedding of Set_f into BStone:

$$G\colon \mathsf{Set}_f^T \longrightarrow \mathsf{Set}_f \longrightarrow \mathsf{BStone}. \tag{5}$$

The shape of the diagram we constructed above for a Boolean space X is the comma category $X \downarrow G$ whose objects are essentially the maps $h_i\colon X \to G(Y_i, \alpha_i)$, and whose arrows are the maps u_{ij} as above. The diagram $\mathcal{D}_X$ described above is formally given by the composite functor

$$X \downarrow G \xrightarrow{cod} \mathsf{Set}_f^T \xrightarrow{G} \mathsf{BStone}$$

of the codomain functor $X \downarrow G \to \mathsf{Set}_f^T$, which maps $h_i\colon X \to G(Y_i, \alpha_i)$ to the algebra (Y_i, α_i), and $G\colon \mathsf{Set}_f^T \to \mathsf{BStone}$. Formally, for an arbitrary Boolean space X, we have $\widehat{T}X := \lim \mathcal{D}_X$.

Notice that this is just the pointwise limit computation of the right Kan extension of G along itself (cf. [14, X.3]), that is, using standard category-theoretic notation, $\widehat{T} = \mathrm{Ran}_G G$. It is well known (see for example [13]) that the right Kan extension of a functor G along itself, when it exists, is the functor part of a monad, called the *codensity monad* for G.

The universal property of the right Kan extension, along with the fact that the underlying-set functor $|-|\colon \mathsf{BStone} \to \mathsf{Set}$ is a right adjoint and thus preserves right Kan extensions, allows one to define a natural transformation

$$\tau_X \colon T|X| \to |\widehat{T}X| \tag{6}$$

which was also used in [2]. Here we give a presentation based on the limit computation of $\widehat{T}X$. Notice that the maps $|h_i|\colon |X| \to |Y_i|$ are functions into the carrier sets of the Eilenberg-Moore algebras $\alpha_i\colon TY_i \to Y_i$, and thus, by the universal property of the free algebra $T|X|$, we can extend the maps $|h_i|$ to algebra morphisms $h_i^\#$ from $T|X|$ to (Y_i, α_i). The functions $h_i^\#$ form a cone for the diagram $|-| \circ \mathcal{D}_X$ in Set whose limit is $|\widehat{T}X|$, by virtue of the fact that the forgetful functor $|-|\colon \mathsf{BStone} \to \mathsf{Set}$ preserves limits. By the universal property of the limit, this yields a unique map τ_X as in (6).

The natural transformation τ behaves well with respect to the units and multiplications of the monads T and $\widehat{T}$, in the sense that the next two diagrams commute, see [1, Proposition B.7]. Thus the pair $(|-|, \tau)$ is a *monad functor* in the terminology of [22].

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T|X| & \xrightarrow{\tau_X} & |\widehat{T}X| \\ & {\scriptstyle \eta_{|X|}}\nwarrow \quad \nearrow{\scriptstyle |\widehat{\eta}_X|} & \\ & |X| & \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} T^2|X| & \xrightarrow{\mu_{|X|}} & T|X| \\ {\scriptstyle T\tau_X}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tau_X} \\ T|\widehat{T}X| & \xrightarrow[\tau_{\widehat{T}X}]{} |\widehat{T}^2X| & \xrightarrow[|\widehat{\mu}_X|]{} |\widehat{T}X| \end{array} \tag{7}$$

By [22, Theorem 9], using the fact that the Stone-Čech compactification functor $\beta\colon \mathsf{Set} \to \mathsf{BStone}$ is left adjoint to $|-|$, we obtain a natural transformation $\tau^\#\colon \beta T \to \widehat{T}\beta$ which also behaves well with respect to the units and multiplications of the monads. That is, in the terminology of [22], the pair $(\beta, \tau^\#)$ is a *monad opfunctor*.

The fact that $(|-|, \tau)$ is a monad functor entails that the functor $|-|$ lifts to a functor $\widehat{|-|}$ between the categories of Eilenberg-Moore algebras for the monads $\widehat{T}$ and T, as in the left diagram in (8). Similarly, $(\beta, \tau^\#)$ being a monad opfunctor implies that β can be lifted to a functor $\widehat{\beta}$ between the Kleisli categories, making the right square in (8) commute, where the vertical functors are the free functors from the base to the Kleisli categories.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathsf{BStone}^{\widehat{T}} & \xrightarrow{\widehat{|-|}} & \mathsf{Set}^T \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathsf{BStone} & \xrightarrow{|-|} & \mathsf{Set} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathsf{Kl}(T) & \xrightarrow{\widehat{\beta}} & \mathsf{Kl}(\widehat{T}) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathsf{Set} & \xrightarrow{\beta} & \mathsf{BStone} \end{array} \tag{8}$$

As a consequence we immediately obtain that the set $|\widehat{T}X|$ admits a T-algebra structure, a result also used in [1] for finite algebras. This structure is essentially the one obtained by applying the functor $\widehat{|-|}$ to the free $\widehat{T}$-algebra $(\widehat{T}X, \widehat{\mu}_X)$. In more detail,

Lemma 2.6. *Given a Boolean space X, the composite map*

$$T|\widehat{T}X| \xrightarrow{\tau_{\widehat{T}X}} |\widehat{T}^2X| \xrightarrow{|\widehat{\mu}_X|} |\widehat{T}X|$$

is a T-algebra structure on $|\widehat{T}X|$.

Proof. This is a straightforward verification using the commutativity of the diagrams in (7). □

While in the proofs it is essential to keep track of the forgetful functor, we will sometimes omit it in what follows, and simply write $\tau_X\colon TX \to \widehat{T}X$. We will need some further properties of τ: for example we extend the result of [1, Proposition B.7(b)], in the case of a monad on Set, beyond the case where X is finite.

Lemma 2.7. *For every Boolean space X, the map $\tau_X\colon TX \to \widehat{T}X$ has dense image.*

Proof. Recall the functor G from (5). In this proof we will write $J\colon \mathsf{Set}_f^T \to \mathsf{Set}_f$ for the forgetful functor, and $I\colon \mathsf{Set}_f \to \mathsf{BStone}$ for the inclusion functor. Thus $G = I \circ J$.

We start by observing that the maps in the cone $\{\alpha \circ T|h|\colon T|X| \to Y \mid (h,(Y,\alpha)) \in X \downarrow G\}$ might not be surjective, however they admit a (regular epi, mono) factorization induced by that of the category of T-algebras. Indeed, for any morphism $\alpha \circ T|h|\colon T|X| \to Y$ in the cone, consider the free T-algebra $(T|X|, \mu_{|X|})$ on the set $|X|$. The function $|h|\colon |X| \to J(Y,\alpha)$ induces a morphism of T-algebras $\overline{|h|}\colon (T|X|,\mu_{|X|}) \to (Y,\alpha)$ that admits a (regular epi, mono) factorization in the category Set^T as displayed in the diagram below.



With respect to the next diagram,



since $J(\overline{|h|}) \circ \eta^T_{|X|} = |h|$, the composition $J(e) \circ \eta^T_{|X|}$ is the underlying function of a continuous map $h^*\colon X \to I(Y')$. Therefore $(h^*,(Y',\alpha'))$ belongs to the comma category $X \downarrow G$ and, upon noting that $J(\overline{|h|}) = \alpha \circ T|h|$, we obtain a factorization $\alpha \circ T|h| = J(m) \circ J(e)$. Finally, we remark that the function $J(e)$ belongs to the cone $\{\alpha \circ T|h|\colon T|X| \to Y \mid (h,(Y,\alpha)) \in X \downarrow G\}$ because $J(e) = \alpha' \circ T|h^*|$, and the map m is a morphism between $(h^*,(Y',\alpha'))$ and $(h,(Y,\alpha))$ in the category $X \downarrow G$.

Now we show that the image of the map $\tau_X\colon T|X| \to |\widehat{T}X|$ is dense in $\widehat{T}X$. The category $X \downarrow G$ is codirected, hence it suffices to show that every non-empty subbasic open set in the limit topology of $\widehat{T}X$ contains an element of $T|X|$. In turn, this is an immediate consequence of the fact, exploited above, that the cone of vertex $T|X|$ admits a (regular epi, mono) factorization. Indeed, let $\pi_Y\colon |\widehat{T}X| \to Y$ be a map in the limit cone defining $|\widehat{T}X|$ (as an inverse limit of finite sets). We must prove that, for each $y \in Y$, the set $(\pi_Y \circ \tau_X)^{-1}(y)$ is not empty, provided that $\pi_Y^{-1}(y)$ is not empty. If $J(m) \circ J(e)\colon T|X| \twoheadrightarrow Y' \rightarrowtail Y$ is the (regular epi, mono) factorization of $\pi_Y \circ \tau_X$, then there is a map $\pi_{Y'}\colon |\widehat{T}X| \to Y'$ satisfying $J(m) \circ \pi_{Y'} = \pi_Y$ because m is a morphism in the category $X \downarrow I \circ J$. Therefore, if y is in the image of π_Y, then it is also in the image of $\pi_{Y'}$. Hence $(\pi_Y \circ \tau_X)^{-1}(y) = J(e)^{-1}(y)$ which is not empty because $J(e)$ is surjective. □

Remark 2.8. *Notice that, for an arbitrary monad T on Set, the components of the natural transformation τ from (6) do not have to be injective. However, this is the case if T is finitary and restricts to finite sets, e.g. if T is the finite powerset monad $\mathcal{P}_f$ on Set. Notice that both $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{P}_f$ generate the same profinite monad, namely the Vietoris monad on BStone.*

We conclude with a consequence of Lemma 2.7 that will be needed for the main result of the next section.

Corollary 2.9. *For every Boolean space X and every function $h\colon M \to X$ with a dense image, the composite function $TM \xrightarrow{Th} TX \xrightarrow{\tau_X} \widehat{T}X$ has dense image.*

3 Extending set monads to BiMs

Let us fix, throughout this section, a monad T on Set. The main result of the present section is Theorem 3.1 which provides sufficient conditions for T to be extended in a canonical way to the category BiM. In Section 2.5

we have seen that the profinite monad $\widehat{T}$ associated to T provides a canonical way of extending T to Boolean spaces. We will consider next ways of lifting T to the category of monoids.

It is well known that there are two "canonical" natural transformations of bifunctors $\otimes, \otimes' \colon TX \times TY \to T(X \times Y)$, defined intuitively as follows. Think of elements in TX as terms $t(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$. Then $t(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \otimes s(y_1, \ldots, y_m)$ is defined as

$$t(s((x_1, y_1), \ldots, (x_1, y_m)), \ldots, s((x_n, y_1), \ldots, (x_n, y_m))),$$

whereas $t(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \otimes' s(y_1, \ldots, y_m)$ is defined as

$$s(t((x_1, y_1), \ldots, (x_n, y_1)), \ldots, t((x_1, y_m), \ldots, (x_n, y_m))).$$

In general $\otimes$ and $\otimes'$ do not coincide, and when they do the monad is called *commutative*, a notion due to Kock [12].

Given a monoid $(M, \cdot, 1)$, one has two possibly different "canonical" ways of defining a binary operation on TM, obtained as either of the two composites

$$TM \times TM \underset{\otimes'}{\overset{\otimes}{\rightrightarrows}} T(M \times M) \xrightarrow{T(\cdot)} TM.$$

If $e \colon 1 \to M$ denotes the map selecting the unit of the monoid, we can also define a map $1 \to TM$ obtained as the composite $Te \circ \eta_1$. That these data (with either of the two binary operations) give rise to monoid structures on TM is a consequence of [12, Theorem 2.1], where the level of generality is beyond the purposes of our present paper. In the next theorem we shall assume that the monad T is commutative and therefore the two monoid structures on TM coincide.

Theorem 3.1. *Any finitary commutative* Set*-monad* T *can be extended to a monad on* BiM *mapping* (X, M) *to* $(\widehat{T}X, TM)$.

Proof. We first give the definition of the monad on an object (X, M, h, ρ, λ). We will show that this is mapped to a BiM $(\widehat{T}X, TM, \widehat{h}, \widehat{\rho}, \widehat{\lambda})$, where $\widehat{h}$, $\widehat{\rho}$ and $\widehat{\lambda}$ are defined as follows. We define the function $\widehat{h} \colon TM \to \widehat{T}X$ as the composite

$$TM \xrightarrow{Th} TX \xrightarrow{\tau_X} \widehat{T}X. \tag{9}$$

By Corollary 2.9, this function has dense image. Since both Th and τ_X are T-algebra morphisms, we obtain that $\widehat{h}$ is also a T-algebra morphism.

Recall that $[X, X]$ and $[\widehat{T}X, \widehat{T}X]$ denote the sets of continuous endofunctions on X, respectively on $\widehat{T}X$. To define $\widehat{\rho}$, consider the composite of the following two maps, where $\widehat{T}_{X,X}$ is given by the application of the functor $\widehat{T}$ to a continuous function in $[X, X]$:

$$M \xrightarrow{\rho} [X, X] \xrightarrow{\widehat{T}_{X,X}} [\widehat{T}X, \widehat{T}X]. \tag{10}$$

We notice that $[\widehat{T}X, \widehat{T}X]$ is an Eilenberg-Moore algebra for T (see Lemma 3.2 below), hence one can uniquely extend the map in (10) to an algebra morphism $\widehat{\rho} \colon TM \to [\widehat{T}X, \widehat{T}X]$. The function $\widehat{\lambda}$ is defined similarly, as the unique T-algebra morphism extending $\widehat{T}_{X,X} \circ \lambda$.

In order to prove that $(\widehat{T}X, TM, \widehat{h}, \widehat{\rho}, \widehat{\lambda})$ is a BiM, it remains to prove that the functions $\widehat{h}$, $\widehat{\rho}$ and $\widehat{\lambda}$ make the diagrams in Definition 2.1 commute. Equivalently, by virtue of Remark 2.3, that the next square and the analogous one (with $\widehat{\rho}$ replaced by $\widehat{\lambda}$, and $\widehat{r}$ by $\widehat{l}$) commute,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [\widehat{T}X, \widehat{T}X] & \xrightarrow{-\circ\widehat{h}} & \widehat{T}X^{TM} \\ \uparrow{\scriptstyle \widehat{\rho}} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \widehat{h}\circ -} \\ TM & \xrightarrow{\widehat{r}} & TM^{TM} \end{array} \tag{11}$$

where $\widehat{r}$ and $\widehat{l}$ denote the right and left action, respectively, of TM on itself. To this end, notice that the following diagram commutes. The two trapezoids are easily seen to be commutative using the definition of $\widehat{h}$, whereas the inner square is a reformulation of the left commuting square in (3).



We derive the commutativity of (11) using the universal property of the free T-algebra on M and by observing that a) in the outer square above, the top horizontal and the right vertical arrows are morphisms of T-algebras by Lemma 3.2 below; b) the map $\widehat{\rho}$ was defined as the unique extension of $\widehat{T}_{X,X} \circ \rho$ to the free algebra TM; and, c) the map $\widehat{r}$ is the unique algebra morphism extending $T_{M,M} \circ r$ to TM. It is now straightforward computations to check that the assignment $(X, M) \mapsto (\widehat{T}X, TM)$ yields the functor part of a monad on the category of BiMs. We remark that the commutativity of the monad T is used in order to show that $(\widehat{T}X, TM)$ is a well-defined BiM (cf. Remark 3.3) and, also, to prove that we have indeed obtained a monad. □

We next prove a fact that was used in the preceding theorem, thus concluding the proof of the main result of this section.

Lemma 3.2. *Let T be a monad on Set. The sets TM^{TM} and $\widehat{T}X^{TM}$ carry structures of T-algebras, and the function $\widehat{h}\circ-$ from the proof of Theorem 3.1 is a T-algebra morphism. If in addition T is finitary, then this also holds for the set $[\widehat{T}X, \widehat{T}X]$ and, moreover, the function $-\circ\widehat{h}$ is also a T-algebra morphism.*

Proof. The first part of this lemma follows immediately from the following elementary facts that hold for any monad T on Set. If $\alpha\colon TB \to B$ is a T-algebra then, for any set A, the set of functions B^A carries an Eilenberg-Moore algebra structure for T, where the operations are defined pointwise. We instantiate this for the free T-algebra $\mu_M\colon T^2M \to TM$ on M, and for the T-algebra structure on $\widehat{T}X$ given as in Lemma 2.6. To see that $\widehat{h}\circ-$ is a T-algebra morphism, we use the fact that whenever $\alpha_i\colon TB_i \to B_i$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$ are two Eilenberg-Moore algebras for T and $f\colon B_1 \to B_2$ is an algebra homomorphism, then $\mathsf{Set}(A, f)\colon B_1^A \to B_2^A$ is a T-algebra homomorphism.

The second part of the statement is more interesting. Recall from Section 2.5 that $\widehat{T}X$ is the cofiltered limit of finite sets Y_i which carry T-algebra structures $\alpha_i\colon TY_i \to Y_i$. We have the following isomorphisms in the category of sets:

$$\begin{aligned}[\widehat{T}X, \widehat{T}X] &\cong [\widehat{T}X, \lim_i Y_i] \\ &\cong \lim_i [\widehat{T}X, Y_i] \\ &\cong \lim_i [\lim_j Y_j, Y_i] \\ &\cong \lim_i \operatorname{colim}_j [Y_j, Y_i]\end{aligned}$$

where, for the last isomorphism, we have used the fact that the Y_i are finite spaces, and consequently finitely copresentable. Moreover, notice that the colimit above is filtered.

In view of the observation above, since the sets Y_i carry T-algebra structures, so do the sets $[Y_j, Y_i] \cong Y_i^{Y_j}$ with respect to pointwise operations. Since for a finitary monad the forgetful functor $\mathsf{Set}^T \to \mathsf{Set}$ preserves and reflects both filtered colimits and limits (see e.g. [6, Propositions 3.4.1 and 3.4.2]), we have that $[\widehat{T}X, \widehat{T}X]$ carries a T-algebra structure. Furthermore, one can check that $[\widehat{T}X, \widehat{T}X]$ is a subalgebra of the T-algebra

$\widehat{T}X^{\widehat{T}X}$ obtained as in the first part of the proof. The function $- \circ \widehat{h}$ is thus obtained as the composite of the two T-algebra morphisms $[\widehat{T}X, \widehat{T}X] \hookrightarrow \widehat{T}X^{\widehat{T}X} \xrightarrow{\widehat{T}X^{\widehat{h}}} \widehat{T}X^{TM}$, hence it is a T-algebra morphism. □

Remark 3.3. *Assume that the monad T is not commutative and we attempt to use in the proof of Theorem 3.1 the monoid multiplication on TM given by $\otimes$. All is fine for the right action and indeed the right action $\widehat{r}$ of TM on itself is the unique extension of $T_{M,M} \circ r$. However, this is not the case for the left action. Symmetrically, if we chose the multiplication of TM stemming from $\otimes'$, then the left action $\widehat{l}$ would be the extension of the map $T_{M,M} \circ l$, but this property would fail for the right action.*

4 Extending the free semimodule monad to BiMs

In Theorem 3.1 we showed how to lift any finitary commutative monad on Set to a monad on BiM. The purpose of the present section is then twofold. On the one hand we provide an example of a family of Set-monads to which this result applies, and on the other hand we give explicit descriptions of the various objects, maps and actions of the associated monads on BiM. This will be essential for our further work on recognisers.

Given a semiring S, recall from Example 2.5 the free S-semimodule monad $\mathcal{S}$ on Set. Notice that $\mathcal{S}$ is a commutative monad if, and only if, S is a commutative semiring, i.e. the multiplication $\cdot$ is a commutative operation. Indeed, for a monoid M, the two monoid operations one can define on $\mathcal{S}M$ are given as follows. If $f, f' \in \mathcal{S}M$, then one can define $ff'(x)$ either by

$$\sum_{mm'=x} f(m) \cdot f'(m') \quad \text{or} \quad \sum_{m'm=x} f'(m') \cdot f(m),$$

and the two coincide precisely when the semiring is commutative. For this reason, for the rest of the paper we will only consider commutative semirings S. We also consider the associated Set-monad $\mathcal{S}$, along with the profinite monad $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}$ on BStone (cf. Section 2.5).

Let X be a Boolean space, and denote by B its dual algebra. We shall see in Section 4.1 that the Boolean space $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}X$ admits a description in terms of *measures* on X, provided S is commutative and finite. Some of these measures can be equivalently regarded as continuous functions from X to the semiring (in the form of density functions yielding the measures by "integration"). This will be particularly important for BiMs, and in Section 4.2 we identify the concrete nature of the algebraic structure for the lifting of the monads for finite semirings.

Finally, in the case where S is also idempotent, and thus a semilattice, *all* the measures are given by densities and we obtain a representation of $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}X$ as the set of all continuous functions from X into the semilattice S equipped with the topology of all downsets. In particular, this description extends the well known characterisation of the Vietoris construction (which is the codensity monad for $S = 2$, the two-element lattice) in terms of continuous functions into the Sierpiński space.

4.1 $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}X$ as the space of measures on X

Let us fix a finite and commutative semiring S.

Definition 4.1. *Let X be a Boolean space and B the dual algebra. An S-valued* measure *(or just a* measure *when the semiring is clear from the context) on X is a function $\mu \colon B \to S$ which is finitely additive, that is*

1. *$\mu(0) = 0$, and*
2. *$\mu(K \vee L) = \mu(K) + \mu(L)$ whenever $K, L \in B$ are disjoint.*

Note that in 1) the first 0 is the bottom of the Boolean algebra, while the second 0 is in S. Also, one can express 2) without reference to disjointness:

2)' $\mu(K \vee L) + \mu(K \wedge L) = \mu(K) + \mu(L)$ *for all* $K, L \in B$.

Note that our notion of measure is not standard. We only require finite additivity. Also, the measure is only defined on the clopens of the space X. Finally, it takes values in a (finite commutative) semiring.

We start by describing the Boolean algebra $\widehat{B}$ dual to $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}X$. For this purpose, the following notation is useful.

Notation 4.2. *Let X be a set and $f\colon X \to S$ a function. If $Y \subseteq X$ is a subset such that the sum $\sum_{x\in Y} f(x)$ exists in S, then we write*

$$\textstyle\int_Y f := \sum_{x\in Y} f(x).$$

If $B \subseteq \mathcal{P}X$ is such that $\int_Y f$ exists for each $Y \in B$, then we denote by $\int f\colon B \to S$ the function taking Y to $\int_Y f$.

In particular, if $B \subseteq \mathcal{P}X$ is a Boolean algebra and X is its dual, then it is not hard to see that $\int f\colon B \to S$ is a measure on X.

We call $f\colon X \to S$ a density *on X provided $\int_Y f$ exists for each $Y \in B$. Notice in particular that each element of $\mathcal{S}X$ is a density on X.*

Lemma 4.3. *Suppose X is a Boolean space and B is its dual algebra. The Boolean algebra $\widehat{B}$ dual to $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}X$ is the subalgebra of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S}X)$ generated by the elements of the form*

$$[L, k] := \{f \in \mathcal{S}X \mid \textstyle\int_L f = k\},$$

for some $L \in B$ and $k \in S$.

Proof. Suppose the Boolean space X is the inverse limit of finite sets Y_i and denote by $\pi_i\colon X \to Y_i$ the canonical map. Since the semiring S is finite by assumption, one can prove that the space $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}X$ is the inverse limit of the finite sets $\mathcal{S}Y_i$. Furthermore, if $p_i\colon \widehat{\mathcal{S}}X \to \mathcal{S}Y_i$ is the canonical map, one has $\mathcal{S}\pi_i = p_i \circ \tau_X$. The statement then follows easily from the definition of limit topology and the fact that $\mathcal{S}X$ is a dense subspace of $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}X$ (cf. Lemma 2.7 and Remark 2.8). □

Now, regarding the elements of $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}X$ as BA homomorphisms $\widehat{B} \to 2$, define the function

$$\widehat{\mathcal{S}}X \longrightarrow \mathsf{Set}(B, S), \quad \varphi \mapsto \mu_\varphi \tag{12}$$

where μ_φ sends $L \in B$ to the unique $k \in S$ such that $\varphi[L, k] = 1$. Since the $[L, k]$'s generate $\widehat{B}$ this correspondence is injective. We observe that the μ_φ are measures on X: since $\int_0 f = 0$ for any f, one has $\mu_\varphi(0) = 0$. For the additivity, suppose $L_1, L_2 \in B$ satisfy $L_1 \wedge L_2 = 0$. Set $k_1 := \mu_\varphi(L_1)$ and $k_2 := \mu_\varphi(L_2)$. Then $[L_1, k_1] \cap [L_2, k_2] \subseteq [L_1 \cup L_2, k_1 + k_2]$, and therefore $\varphi[L_1, k_1] = 1 = \varphi[L_2, k_2]$ entails $\varphi[L_1 \cup L_2, k_1 + k_2] = 1$. We conclude $\mu_\varphi(L_1 \cup L_2) = k_1 + k_2 = \mu_\varphi(L_1) + \mu_\varphi(L_2)$.

On the other hand,

Lemma 4.4. *The image of the embedding in* (12) *is exactly the set of all measures on X.*

Proof. Suppose μ is a measure on X, and define the set $F := \{[L, \mu(L)] \mid L \in B\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S}X)$. The empty set does not belong to F since $\mu(0) = 0$ and, using additivity, one can show that F is a filter base. The filter generated by F is an ultrafilter since, for each $[L, k]$, either $\mu(L) = k$ so that $[L, k] \in F$, or $\mu(L) \neq k$ and then $[L, \mu(L)] \subseteq [L, k]^c$, so that $[L, k]^c$ is in the filter generated by F. It follows that $\mu = \mu_\varphi$ where φ is the characteristic function of the filter generated by F. □

Notation 4.5. *Let $L \in B$ and $k \in S$. Notice that under the bijection between $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}X$ and the set of all measures on X provided by Lemma 4.4, the clopen corresponding to $[L, k]$ is sent to the set of all measures μ with the property that $\mu(K) = k$ (where K is the clopen of X corresponding to L), which we denote again by $[K, k]$. Thus the S-valued measures on X become a Boolean space when equipped with the topology generated by the sets $[K, k]$ for K a clopen of X and $k \in S$.*

Thus we have proved the following

Theorem 4.6. *Let S be a finite and commutative semiring. For any Boolean space X, $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}X$ is homeomorphic to the space of S-valued measures on X.*

Henceforth we identify $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}X$ with its bijective image. That is, we assume

$$\widehat{\mathcal{S}}X = \{\mu\colon B \to S \mid \mu \text{ is a measure on } X\}$$

with the topology generated by the subbasic clopen sets

$$[K,k] := \{\mu \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}X \mid \mu(K) = k\},$$

where K is a clopen subset of X and $k \in S$.

We conclude this subsection with the following observation which corresponds to Lemma 2.7 (cf. also Remark 2.8).

Proposition 4.7. *If X is a Boolean space with dual algebra B, then*

$$\mathcal{S}X \to \widehat{\mathcal{S}}X,\ f \mapsto \int f$$

embeds $\mathcal{S}X$ in $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}X$ as a dense subspace. Furthermore, we have $\overline{[L,k]} = [K,k]$ whenever $L \in B$, K is the clopen of X corresponding to L, and $k \in S$.

4.2 The algebraic structure on $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}$

Just like in the previous subsection, we work with a fixed finite and commutative semiring S. As follows by the general results in Sections 2.5 and 3, respectively, $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}X$ is a module over the semiring S and it is a Boolean space with an internal monoid. Here we identify the concrete nature of this structure relative to the incarnation of $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}X$ as the space of measures on X. We state these as lemmas and, indeed, one can prove them directly. However, the results in this section are just special cases of the more general results in Sections 2.5 and 3.

Lemma 4.8. *Let X be a Boolean space and let $\mu, \nu \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}X$. Then*

$$\mu + \nu\colon K \mapsto \mu(K) + \nu(K)$$

is again a measure on X and the ensuing binary operation on $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}X$ is continuous. Further, for $k \in S$

$$k\mu\colon K \mapsto k \cdot \mu(K)$$

is again a measure on X and the ensuing unary operation on $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}X$ is continuous.

This accounts for the S-semimodule structure on $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}X$. Now assume that X is not just a Boolean space, but a BiM. To improve readability, we assume $h\colon M \to X$ is injective and identify M with its image. Firstly, we observe that $\mathcal{S}M$ sits as a dense subspace of $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}X$ by composing the map $\mathcal{S}h\colon \mathcal{S}M \to \mathcal{S}X$ with the integration map of Proposition 4.7. This is the concrete incarnation, in the case of the monad $\mathcal{S}$, of Corollary 2.9.

Lemma 4.9. *Let (X, M) be a Boolean space with an internal monoid. Then*

$$\mathcal{S}M \to \widehat{\mathcal{S}}X,\ f \mapsto \int f$$

is the map $\widehat{h}$ from (9) transporting $\mathcal{S}M$ into a dense subspace of $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}X$.

We remark that, since we assumed h is injective, then so is the map $\widehat{h}$ in the previous lemma (cf. Remark 2.8). Now, to exhibit the BiM structure of $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}X$, we start by identifying the actions of M on $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}X$.

Lemma 4.10. *Let (X, M) be a Boolean space with an internal monoid. Further, let $\mu \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}X$ and $m \in M$. Then*

$$m\mu \colon K \mapsto \mu(m^{-1}K),$$

where $m^{-1}K = \{x \in X \mid mx \in K\}$ whenever $K \subseteq X$ is clopen, is again a measure on X. This defines a left action of M on $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}X$ with continuous components. Similarly,

$$\mu m \colon K \mapsto \mu(Km^{-1})$$

defines a right action of M on $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}X$ with continuous components, and these actions are compatible in the sense that $(m\mu)n = m(\mu n)$.

Using the S-semimodule structure of $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}X$ (see Lemma 2.6), along with the biaction of M on $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}X$ provided by the previous lemma, it is easy to obtain the biaction of $\mathcal{S}M$ on $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}X$. The following can be regarded as the specific incarnation of Theorem 3.1.

Lemma 4.11. *Let (X, M) be a Boolean space with an internal monoid. The map*

$$\mathcal{S}M \times \widehat{\mathcal{S}}X \to \widehat{\mathcal{S}}X,\ (f, \mu) \mapsto f\mu := \sum_{m \in M} f(m) \cdot m\mu$$

is a left action of $\mathcal{S}M$ on $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}X$ with continuous components. A right action with continuous components may be defined similarly. Finally, the two actions are compatible and provide the BiM *structure on $(\widehat{\mathcal{S}}X, \mathcal{S}M)$.*

Finally, we consider a restriction of the above action of $\mathcal{S}M$ on $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}X$ which we will need for the construction of the space $\Diamond X$ in Section 5. This is given by precomposing with the unit of the monad $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}$:

$$\widehat{\eta}_X \colon X \to \widehat{\mathcal{S}}X,\ x \mapsto \mu_x$$

where $\mu_x = \int \chi_x$ and χ_x is the characteristic function of $\{x\}$ into S. That is, $\mu_x(K) = 1$ if $x \in K$ and it is 0 otherwise. It is immediate that this map embeds X as a (closed) subspace of $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}X$. Thus we obtain an "action"

$$\mathcal{S}M \times X \to \widehat{\mathcal{S}}X,\ (f, x) \mapsto f\mu_x.$$

Lemma 4.12. *Let (X, M) be a Boolean space with an internal monoid. Consider the map*

$$\mathcal{S}M \times X \to \mathcal{S}X,\ (f, x) \mapsto fx,$$

where $fx(y) = \sum_{mx=y} f(m)$. Then we have

$$f\mu_x = \int fx.$$

Furthermore, for each $f \in \mathcal{S}M$, the assignment $x \mapsto \int fx$ is continuous.

4.3 The idempotent case and a generalisation of Vietoris

In this last subsection we assume S is a finite, commutative and *idempotent* semiring (i.e. a finite semilattice). We will see in Theorem 4.14 that, under these assumptions, the S-valued measures on a Boolean space X can be equivalently described as the functions $X \to S$ which are continuous with respect to the appropriate topology on S.

Recall that an idempotent semiring S is naturally equipped with a partial order $\leq$ defined by $x \leq y$ if, and only if, there is z such that $x + z = y$. The operation $+$ is a join-semilattice operation on S, and accordingly we will henceforth denote it by $\vee$ instead of $+$. Notice that each $\mu \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}X$ satisfies $\mu(K) \leq \mu(L)$ whenever $K \leq L$ are elements of the dual algebra B of X. Therefore, if we are given a non-empty codirected subset

$F \subseteq B$ (that is, for all $L_1, L_2 \in F$ there exists $L_3 \in F$ satisfying $L_3 \leq L_1, L_2$), then the set $\{\mu(L) \mid L \in F\}$ is a finite codirected set and therefore it attains a minimum that we denote by

$$\lim_{L \in F} \mu(L) := \min \{\mu(L) \mid L \in F\} \in S. \tag{13}$$

We exploit this observation in the case in which F is an ultrafilter on the Boolean algebra B. Consider the function

$$\widehat{\mathcal{S}}X \longrightarrow \mathsf{Set}(X, S), \quad \mu \longmapsto (f_\mu \colon x \mapsto \lim_{x \in L} \mu(L)). \tag{14}$$

The latter assignment is injective in view of the following

Lemma 4.13. *For every $\mu \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}X$ and $L \in B$,*

$$\mu(L) = \int_L f_\mu.$$

Proof. For every $x \in L$ it is immediate that $\mu(L) \geq f_\mu(x)$, therefore

$$\mu(L) \geq \bigvee_{x \in L} f_\mu(x) = \int_L f_\mu.$$

In order to establish the converse inequality, the next observation is crucial: for every $x \in X$ there exists a clopen L_x satisfying $x \in L_x$ and $f_\mu(x) = \mu(L_x)$. This reflects the fact that the minimum in (13) is attained.

Now, the set $\{L_x \mid x \in L\}$ is a clopen covering of L (we can assume without loss of generality that each L_x is contained in L), hence by compactness there exist $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in L$ such that $\bigcup_{i=1}^n L_{x_i} = L$. If $\{P_1, \ldots, P_m\}$ is the clopen partition of L generated by the L_{x_i}'s, then

$$\mu(L) = \bigvee_{i=1}^m \mu(P_i) \leq \bigvee_{i=1}^n \mu(L_{x_i}) = \bigvee_{i=1}^n f_\mu(x_i) \leq \int_L f_\mu,$$

whence the statement. □

Our next task consists in characterising the image of the function in (14). This is done in terms of continuity, in the following sense. Consider the topology of all downsets on the poset $(S, \leq)$ (this is the Alexandrov topology of the reverse order). With respect to this topology on the semiring S one has

$$\mu \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}X \Longrightarrow f_\mu \in [X, S], \tag{15}$$

where $[X, S]$ denotes the collection of all continuous functions $X \to S$. Indeed, (15) follows at once from the fact that, for any $k \in S$,

$$f_\mu^{-1}(\downarrow k) = \bigcup \mu^{-1}(\downarrow k).$$

Moreover, we can consider the topology on the set $[X, S]$ whose subbasic clopens are of the form

$$\{f \in [X, S] \mid \int_L f = k\},$$

for some clopen subset L of X and $k \in S$. With respect to this topology one has the following

Theorem 4.14. *For any finite, commutative and idempotent semiring S and Boolean space X, $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}X$ is homeomorphic to the space $[X, S]$ of continuous S-valued functions on X.*

Proof. We already saw that the function $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}X \to [X, S]$ from (14), sending μ to f_μ, is well-defined and injective. We prove that it is also surjective.

Given $f \in [X, S]$, define the function

$$\mu\colon B \to S, \quad \mu(0) := 0 \text{ and } \mu(L) := \textstyle\int_L f \text{ if } L \neq 0.$$

For any two disjoint elements $K, L \in B$ different from 0 (the case in which at least one of K and L is 0 is easily handled separately) we have

$$\mu(K) \vee \mu(L) = \textstyle\int_K f \vee \int_L f = \int_{K \cup L} f = \mu(K \vee L)$$

showing that $\mu \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}X$. The statement $f_\mu = f$ then amounts to saying that, for every $x \in X$,

$$f(x) = \lim_{x \in L} \textstyle\int_L f. \tag{16}$$

Since $f(x) \leq \int_L f$ for each L containing x, we have $f(x) \leq \lim_{x \in L} \int_L f$. On the other hand, by continuity of f, for each $k \in S$ the set $f^{-1}(\uparrow k)$ is closed in X. Moreover, $f^{-1}(\uparrow k_2) \subseteq f^{-1}(\uparrow k_1)$ whenever $k_1 \leq k_2$. Now, by picking a clopen L' such that $x \in L'$ and $L' \cap f^{-1}(\uparrow k) = \emptyset$ for all $k > f(x)$,

$$\lim_{x \in L} \textstyle\int_L f \leq \int_{L'} f = f(x)$$

thus proving (16).

This shows that the assignment $\mu \mapsto f_\mu$ is bijective. Continuity is clear. Since (16) entails that $[X, S]$ is a Hausdorff space, and every continuous bijection from a compact space to a Hausdorff space is a homeomorphism, the statement follows. □

Example 4.15. *Notice that Theorem 4.14, in the case of the Boolean semiring $S = 2$, yields a representation that is tightly related to the usual representation of the Vietoris space $\mathcal{V}X$, for X a Boolean space, in terms of continuous functions from X into the Sierpiński space. Indeed, the measure $\mu_K\colon B \to 2$ associated with a closed set $K \in \mathcal{V}X$ satisfies $\mu_K(L) = 1$ if, and only if, $K \in \Diamond L$ for any $L \in B$. It is easy to see that the density corresponding to this measure is the continuous characteristic function $\chi_K\colon X \to 2$, where 2 is equipped with the topology of all downsets, i.e. its only non-trivial closed subset is the singleton $\{1\}$. Notice that the latter space is homeomorphic to the Sierpiński space. Theorem 4.14 then entails that the assignment $K \mapsto \chi_K$ is a bijection between $\mathcal{V}X$ and $[X, 2]$.*

5 Recognisers for operations given by S-valued transductions

In this section we will see how we can use the extension of a Set-monad T to BiMs obtained in Section 3 to generate recognisers for languages obtained by applying an operation modelled by the monad T, specifically by a Kleisli map $R\colon A^* \to T(B^*)$. If T is the powerset monad, then the Kleisli maps for T are just transductions, and it is by now a standard result in the theory of formal languages that transductions can be used to model operations on languages, see [17]; in Section 5.2 we see how existential and modular quantifiers fit into this pattern.

In Section 5.1 we present the blueprint of our approach, using an additional assumption on the T-Kleisli map under consideration (namely that it is a monoid morphism), and in Section 5.2 we instantiate T to the free S-semimodule monads for commutative semirings S and we adapt the general theory developed previously.

5.1 Recognising operations modelled by a monad T

Let T be an arbitrary commutative and finitary monad on Set, and let A, B be finite sets. We start by observing that a Kleisli map $R\colon A^* \to T(B^*)$ could be used to transform languages in the alphabet B into

languages in the alphabet A. Assume that $L = \phi^{-1}(P)$ for some monoid morphism $\phi\colon B^* \to M$ and some $P \subseteq M$. We consider the function

$$A^* \xrightarrow{R} T(B^*) \xrightarrow{T\phi} TM.$$

Since T is a commutative monad, we know that it lifts to the category of monoids, and hence we can see $T\phi$ as a monoid morphism. If R is also a monoid morphism, and we will assume this only in this subsection, then so is $T\phi \circ R$, and it could be used for language recognition in the standard way. Assuming that we have a way of turning the recognising sets in M into recognising sets in TM, i.e., that we have a predicate transformer $\mathcal{P}M \to \mathcal{P}TM$ mapping P to $\widetilde{P}$, we obtain a language $\widetilde{L}$ in A^* as the preimage of $\widetilde{P}$ under the morphism $T\phi \circ R$.

Remark 5.1. *In the running example of the next subsection, we will need maps R that are not monoid morphisms, and in that setting we will have to use a matrix representation of the transduction instead. Nevertheless, the techniques used in the next section can be seen as an adaptation of the theory developed here for the case when R is indeed a monoid morphism.*

In this work we go beyond regular languages, so we are interested in languages recognised by a BiM morphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \beta(B^*) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\phi}} & X \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle h} \\ B^* & \xrightarrow{\phi} & M. \end{array} \tag{17}$$

We recall that to improve readability, and since $\tilde{\phi}$ is uniquely determined by its restriction to B^*, we sometimes denote such a morphism of BiMs simply by ϕ, instead of $(\tilde{\phi}, \phi)$.

By Theorem 3.1, we know that $(\widehat{T}X, TM)$ is a BiM, and we use it for recognising A-languages by constructing another BiM morphism $(\beta(A^*), A^*) \to (\widehat{T}X, TM)$ as in the next lemma.

Lemma 5.2. *If the pair $(\tilde{\phi}, \phi)$ from* (17) *is a morphism of* BiM*s, then so is the pair $(\widehat{T}\tilde{\phi} \circ \widehat{R}, T\phi \circ R)$ described in the next diagram, where $\widehat{R}$ is the $\widehat{T}$-Kleisli map obtained by applying the functor $\widehat{\beta}$ of* (8) *to R.*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} |\beta(A^*)| & \xrightarrow{|\widehat{R}|} & |\widehat{T}\beta(B^*)| & \xrightarrow{|\widehat{T}\tilde{\phi}|} & |\widehat{T}X| \\ {\scriptstyle\iota}\uparrow & & & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \tau_X \circ Th} \\ A^* & \xrightarrow{R} & T(B^*) & \xrightarrow{T\phi} & TM \end{array}$$

Proof. We recall that, in the diagram above, ι denotes the unit of the adjunction $\beta \dashv |-| \colon \mathsf{BStone} \to \mathsf{Set}$. We will denote by ϵ the counit of this adjunction. First we recall that $\widehat{R}$ is defined as the composite

$$\beta(A^*) \xrightarrow{\beta R} \beta T(B^*) \xrightarrow{\tau^\#} \widehat{T}\beta(B^*).$$

So we show that the next diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} |\beta(A^*)| & \xrightarrow{|\beta R|} & |\beta T(B^*)| & \xrightarrow{|\tau^\#|} & |\widehat{T}\beta(B^*)| & \xrightarrow{|\widehat{T}\tilde{\phi}|} & |\widehat{T}X| \\ {\scriptstyle\iota}\uparrow & & {\scriptstyle\iota}\uparrow & & {\scriptstyle\tau}\uparrow & & {\scriptstyle\tau}\uparrow \\ & & & & T|\beta(B^*)| & \xrightarrow{|\tilde{\phi}|} & T|X| \\ & & & {\scriptstyle T\iota}\nearrow & & {\scriptstyle Th}\nearrow & \\ A^* & \xrightarrow{R} & T(B^*) & \xrightarrow{T\phi} & TM & & \end{array}$$

The two rectangles in the diagram above commute by naturality of ι, respectively τ, and the bottom right rhombus commutes because ϕ is a morphism of BiMs. To prove that the middle trapezoid is commutative, we just have to recall how the transformation $\tau^{\#}$ is defined from τ via ι and ϵ, and employ the naturality of ι and one of the unit-counit laws of the adjunction. In a 2-categorical terminology, this is a simple exercise involving the mates τ and $\tau^{\#}$, that we leave to the reader. □

5.2 Recognising quantified languages via S-transductions

Here we show how to construct BiMs recognising quantified languages. We point out that the contents of this subsection could be easily adapted to arbitrary Kleisli maps for the monads of the form $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}$ for a commutative semiring S.

We start with a language L in the extended alphabet $(A \times 2)^*$ recognised by a BiM morphism.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \beta((A \times 2)^*) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\phi}} & X \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow h \\ (A \times 2)^* & \xrightarrow{\phi} & M \end{array} \tag{18}$$

In other words, there exists a clopen C in X such that $L = \phi^{-1}(C \cap M)$. The aim of this section is to construct recognisers for the quantified languages $L_{\exists}$ and $L_{\exists_{p \bmod q}}$, as defined in Section 2.1. To this end, using the formal sum notation in the definition of the monad $\mathcal{S}$, we consider the map $R\colon A^* \to \mathcal{S}((A \times 2)^*)$ defined by

$$w \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{|w|} 1_S \cdot w^{(i)}.$$

If S is the Boolean semiring 2, then R simply associates to each word the set of all words in $(A \times 2)^*$ with the same shape as w and with exactly one marked letter. The framework developed in the previous subsection does not immediately apply, since R is not a monoid morphism. So the first step we have to take is to obtain a monoid morphism from R, which will then be used to construct BiM recognisers for quantified languages.

Upon viewing R as an S-transduction (see [18]), we observe that it is realised by the rational S-transducer $\mathcal{T}_R$ pictured in Figure 1, in which we have drawn transition maps only for a generic letter $a \in A$.



Figure 1: The S-transducer $\mathcal{T}_R$ realising R. All the transitions have weights 1_S, and thus the transducer outputs value 1_S for all pairs of the form $(w, w^{(i)})$, with $w \in A^*$ and $1 \leq i \leq |w|$.

This transducer provides the following representation of R in terms of a monoid morphism

$$\mathsf{R}\colon A^* \to \mathcal{M}_2(\mathcal{S}((A \times 2)^*)), \tag{19}$$

where $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathcal{S}((A \times 2)^*))$ denotes the set of $n \times n$-matrices over the semiring $\mathcal{S}((A \times 2)^*)$. For a word $w \in A^*$, the matrix $\mathsf{R}(w)$ has at position (i, j) the formal sum of output words obtained from the transducer $\mathcal{T}_R$ by going from state i to state j while reading input word w. That is, R is given by

$$w \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1_S \cdot w^0 & \sum\limits_i 1_S \cdot w^{(i)} \\ 0_S & 1_S \cdot w^0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The next two examples provide the motivation for considering the particular transduction R in the first place.

Example 5.3. *Assume S is the Boolean semiring 2, thus $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{P}_f$, and $R(w) = \{w^{(i)} \mid 1 \leq i \leq |w|\}$. The language $L_\exists \subseteq A^*$ is recognised by the following composite monoid morphism, that will be denoted by $\phi_\exists$.*

$$A^* \xrightarrow{\ \mathsf{R}\ } \mathcal{M}_2(\mathcal{P}_f((A \times 2)^*)) \xrightarrow{\ \mathcal{M}_2(\mathcal{P}_f \phi)\ } \mathcal{M}_2(\mathcal{P}_f M)$$

Indeed, if $L = \phi^{-1}(P)$ for $P \subseteq M$, then $L_\exists = \phi_\exists^{-1}(\widetilde{P})$, where $\widetilde{P}$ is the set of matrices in $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathcal{P}_f M)$ such that the finite set in position $(1,2)$ intersects P.

Example 5.4. *Assume S is the semiring $\mathbb{Z}_q$. The language $L_{\exists_{p \bmod q}} \subseteq A^*$ is recognised by the following composite monoid morphism, that will be denoted by $\phi_{\exists_{p \bmod q}}$.*

$$A^* \xrightarrow{\ \mathsf{R}\ } \mathcal{M}_2(\mathcal{S}((A \times 2)^*)) \xrightarrow{\ \mathcal{M}_2(\mathcal{S}\phi)\ } \mathcal{M}_2(\mathcal{S}M)$$

Indeed, if $L = \phi^{-1}(P)$ for $P \subseteq M$, then $L_{\exists_{p \bmod q}} = \phi_{\exists_{p \bmod q}}^{-1}(\widetilde{P})$, where $\widetilde{P}$ is the set of matrices in $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathcal{S}M)$ such that the finitely supported function $f \colon \mathbb{Z}_q \to M$ in position $(1,2)$ has the property that $\int_P f = p$ in $\mathbb{Z}_q$.

In view of Theorem 3.1, we know that whenever (X, M) is a BiM, then so is $(\widehat{\mathcal{S}}X, SM)$ with the actions of the internal monoid as in Lemma 4.11. Using this fact, as an intermediate step, we can prove the following general lemma.

Lemma 5.5. *If (X, M) is a* BiM*, then so is*

$$(\mathcal{M}_n(\widehat{\mathcal{S}}X), \mathcal{M}_n(\mathcal{S}M))$$

for any integer $n \geq 1$.

Proof. Notice that the set $\mathcal{M}_n(\widehat{\mathcal{S}}X)$ is a Boolean space with respect to the product topology of $n \times n$ copies of $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}X$. The statement then follows easily upon defining the actions of the monoid $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathcal{S}M)$ on $\mathcal{M}_n(\widehat{\mathcal{S}}X)$ by using the actions of $\mathcal{S}M$ on $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}X$ via matrix multiplication, and the S-semimodule structure of $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}X$. For example, the left action of $(f_{ij})_{i,j} \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathcal{S}M)$ on $(\mu_{ij})_{i,j} \in \mathcal{M}_n(\widehat{\mathcal{S}}X)$ yields a matrix of measures in $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}X$ having at position (i,j) the measure $\sum_{k=1}^{n} f_{ik}\mu_{kj}$. ☐

We next prove a result which entails that the monoid morphisms $\phi_\exists$ and $\phi_{\exists_{p \bmod q}}$ constructed in Examples 5.3 and 5.4 can be extended to BiM morphisms recognising $L_\exists$ and $L_{\exists_{p \bmod q}}$, respectively.

Lemma 5.6. *If the pair $(\tilde{\phi}, \phi)$ from (17) is a morphism of* BiM*s and $\mathsf{R} \colon A^* \to \mathcal{M}_n(\mathcal{S}(B^*))$ is a monoid morphism, then so is the pair $(\mathcal{M}_n(\widehat{T}\tilde{\phi}) \circ \widehat{\mathsf{R}}, \mathcal{M}_n(T\phi) \circ \mathsf{R})$ described in the next diagram,*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\beta(A^*) & \xrightarrow{\ \widehat{\mathsf{R}}\ } & \mathcal{M}_n(\widehat{\mathcal{S}}\beta(B^*)) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{M}_n(\widehat{\mathcal{S}}\tilde{\phi})} & \mathcal{M}_n(\widehat{\mathcal{S}}X) \\
\uparrow & & & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \mathcal{M}_n(\tau_X \circ \mathcal{S}h)} \\
A^* & \xrightarrow{\ \mathsf{R}\ } & \mathcal{M}_n(\mathcal{S}(B^*)) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{M}_n(\mathcal{S}\phi)} & \mathcal{M}_n(\mathcal{S}M)
\end{array}$$

where $\widehat{\mathsf{R}}$ is the map obtained as follows:

$$A^* \xrightarrow{\ \mathsf{R}\ } \mathcal{M}_n(\mathcal{S}(B^*)) \xrightarrow{\ \mathcal{M}_n(\eta)\ } \mathcal{M}_n(\beta\mathcal{S}(B^*)) \xrightarrow{\ \mathcal{M}_n(\tau^\#)\ } \mathcal{M}_n(\widehat{\mathcal{S}}\beta(B^*)).$$

When we apply this lemma to the monoid morphism R of (19) we obtain the BiM $(\mathcal{M}_2(\widehat{\mathcal{S}}X), \mathcal{M}_2(\mathcal{S}M))$ which, when instantiated with the appropriate semiring S, recognises e.g. the quantified languages $L_\exists$ and $L_{\exists_{p \bmod q}}$.

For instance, suppose the semiring S is $\mathbb{Z}_q$. If L is recognised by a clopen $C \subseteq X$ then, upon recalling that clopens of $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}X$ are of the form $[K, k]$ for K a clopen of X and $k \in S$, one can easily prove that the quantified language $L_{\exists_{p \bmod q}}$ is recognised by the clopen subset of $\mathcal{M}_2(\widehat{\mathcal{S}}X)$ given by the product $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}X \times [C, p] \times \widehat{\mathcal{S}}X \times \widehat{\mathcal{S}}X$, where the elements of the clopen $[C, p]$ should appear in position $(1, 2)$ in the matrix view of the space.

However, notice that the image of the morphism $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathcal{S}\tilde{\phi}) \circ \widehat{\mathsf{R}}$, denoted hereafter by ϕ_{R}, is contained in the subspace of $\mathcal{M}_2(\widehat{\mathcal{S}}X)$ which can be represented by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} X & \widehat{\mathcal{S}}X \\ 0 & X \end{pmatrix}.$$

As a consequence, we can use for the same recognition purpose a smaller BiM, through which the morphism ϕ_{R} factors. We denote this BiM morphism by

$$\Diamond\phi \colon (\beta(A^*), A^*) \to (\Diamond X, \Diamond M),$$

where $\Diamond M := \mathcal{S}M \times M$ and $\Diamond X := \widehat{\mathcal{S}}X \times X$, with monoid structure and biactions defined essentially by identifying the products above with upper triangular matrices, and then using the matrix multiplication and the concrete description of several monoid actions from Lemmas 4.10 and 4.12. Using the notations described in these lemmas, the left action can be described by

$$\begin{pmatrix} m & f \\ 0 & m \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x & \mu \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} mx & m\mu + \int fx \\ 0 & mx \end{pmatrix}.$$

Recall from Section 2.1 the language $\mathcal{Q}_k(L)$ in the alphabet A is obtained by quantifying the language $L \subseteq (A \times 2)^*$ with respect to the quantifier obtained from the finite and commutative semiring S. We summarize the preceding observations in the following theorem.

Theorem 5.7. *Let S be a commutative semiring, and $k \in S$. Suppose a language $L \subseteq (A \times 2)^*$ is recognised by the* BiM *morphism $\phi \colon (\beta((A \times 2)^*), (A \times 2)^*) \to (X, M)$. Then the quantified language $\mathcal{Q}_k(L) \subseteq A^*$ is recognised by the* BiM *morphism $\Diamond\phi \colon (\beta(A^*), A^*) \to (\Diamond X, \Diamond M)$.*

As an immediate consequence, taking $S = 2$ the Boolean semiring and $k = 1$, we recover the result in [10, Proposition 13] on existential quantification:

Corollary 5.8. *Consider a formula $\varphi(x)$ with a free first-order variable. If the language $L_{\varphi(x)} \subseteq (A \times 2)^*$ is recognised by the* BiM *morphism $\phi \colon (\beta((A \times 2)^*), (A \times 2)^*) \to (X, M)$, then the existentially quantified language $L_{\exists x.\varphi(x)} \subseteq A^*$ is recognised by the* BiM *morphism $\Diamond\phi \colon (\beta(A^*), A^*) \to (\mathcal{V}X \times X, \mathcal{P}_f M \times M)$.*

6 Duality-theoretic account of the construction

Let S be a finite and commutative semiring and (X, M) a BiM. As earlier, we denote by B the dual algebra of X. Further, let $\phi \colon (\beta((A \times 2)^*), (A \times 2)^*) \to (X, M)$ be a BiM morphism. We denote by $\mathcal{B}$ the preimage under ϕ of B. That is, $\mathcal{B}$ is the Boolean algebra, closed under quotients in $\mathcal{P}((A \times 2)^*)$, of languages recognised by the BiM morphism ϕ.

In Section 5.2 we introduced the map $\Diamond\phi$ as a recogniser for the quantified languages obtained from the languages in $\mathcal{B}$. Here we prove that $\Diamond\phi$ is in fact the dual of a certain morphism of Boolean algebras with quotient operations whose image $\mathcal{B}'$ is generated as a Boolean algebra closed under quotients by the languages obtained either by quantification of languages from $\mathcal{B}$, or by direct recognition via the composition of ϕ with the embedding $(\ )^0 \colon \beta(A^*) \to \beta((A \times 2)^*),\ w \mapsto w^0$.

In the process, we also show that the actions of $\Diamond M$ on $\Diamond X$, given by matrix multiplication in Section 5.2, arise by duality from the quotient operations on $\mathcal{B}'$.

Finally, we conclude with a Reutenauer-type theorem, showing that the Boolean algebra closed under quotients generated by the languages in A^* recognised by length preserving morphisms into $\Diamond X$ is precisely the Boolean algebra generated by those recognised by X directly and those obtained by quantification from languages in $(A \times 2)^*$ recognised by X.

6.1 The space $\Diamond X$ by duality

Notice that the continuous map

$$\phi_Q \colon \beta(A^*) \xrightarrow{\widehat{R}} \widehat{\mathcal{S}}\beta((A \times 2)^*) \xrightarrow{\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_\phi} \widehat{\mathcal{S}}X,$$

which is given for $w \in A^*$ by

$$\phi_Q(w) := \int f_w,$$

where

$$f_w := \sum_{1 \leq i \leq |w|} 1_S \cdot \phi(w^{(i)}), \tag{20}$$

recognises the quantified languages $\mathcal{Q}_k(L)$ for $k \in S$ and $L \in \mathcal{B}$. In fact, the clopen in $\beta(A^*)$ corresponding to $\mathcal{Q}_k(L)$ is ${\phi_Q}^{-1}([K,k])$ where $K \subseteq X$ is the clopen in X recognising L via ϕ. By Theorem 4.6, the clopens of $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}X$ are generated by the sets of the form $[K,k]$ with $k \in S$ and $K \subseteq X$ clopen, thus we have:

Proposition 6.1. *The Boolean algebra $\mathcal{QB}$ of languages over A^* recognised by ϕ_Q is generated by the quantified languages $\mathcal{Q}_k(L)$ for $k \in S$ and $L \in \mathcal{B}$.*

Note that $\mathcal{QB}$ is *not* closed under quotients. This is the reason we had to make an adjustment between Sections 5.1 and 5.2 above.

We denote by $\mathcal{B}_0$ the Boolean algebra of languages closed under quotients which is recognised by the BiM morphism

$$\phi_0 \colon (\beta(A^*), A^*) \xrightarrow{(\ )^0} \beta((A \times 2)^*, (A \times 2)^*) \xrightarrow{\phi} (X, M).$$

Note that $\mathcal{B}_0$ consists of all languages $L_0 = {\phi_0}^{-1}(K)$ obtained as the preimage under $(\ )^0$ of languages $L = \phi^{-1}(K)$ in $\mathcal{B}$. Taking the product map, it now follows that

$$\Diamond\phi = \phi_Q \times \phi_0 \colon \beta(A^*) \to \widehat{\mathcal{S}}X \times X,$$

viewed just as a map of Boolean spaces, recognises the Boolean algebra generated by $\mathcal{QB} \cup \mathcal{B}_0$. However, since $\mathcal{QB}$ is *not* closed under quotients, a priori, neither is $<\mathcal{QB} \cup \mathcal{B}_0>_{BA}$.

The Boolean algebra $\mathcal{B}'$ that we are interested in is the closure under quotients of $<\mathcal{QB} \cup \mathcal{B}_0>_{BA}$. The important observation is that $<\mathcal{QB} \cup \mathcal{B}_0>_{BA}$ *is already closed under the quotient operations*, thus explaining why $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}X \times X$, along with the above product map, is the right recogniser space-wise.

Proposition 6.2. *The Boolean algebra generated by $\mathcal{QB} \cup \mathcal{B}_0$ is closed under quotients. That is,*

$$\mathcal{B}' = <\mathcal{Q}_k(L), L_0 \mid L \in \mathcal{B} \text{ and } k \in S>_{BA}.$$

Proof. Since $\mathcal{B}_0$ is closed under quotients, it suffices to consider the quotienting of languages of the form $\mathcal{Q}_k(L) = {\phi_Q}^{-1}([K,k])$ where $K \subseteq X$ is the clopen recognising L via ϕ. For $u \in A^*$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} u^{-1}\mathcal{Q}_k(L) &= \{w \in A^* \mid uw \in \mathcal{Q}_k(L)\} \\ &= \{w \in A^* \mid \textstyle\int f_{uw} \in [K,k]\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now notice that, since the free variable in the word uw either occurs in u or in w, we have

$$f_{uw} = \phi(u^0) f_w + f_u \phi(w^0).$$

Further, since $\int(\phi(u^0)f_w + f_u\phi(w^0)) = \int \phi(u^0)f_w + \int f_u\phi(w^0)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} u^{-1}\mathcal{Q}_k(L) &= \{w \in A^* \mid \textstyle\int \phi(u^0)f_w + \int f_u\phi(w^0) \in [K,k]\} \\ &= \bigcup_{k_1+k_2=k} \{w \in A^* \mid \textstyle\int \phi(u^0)f_w \in [K,k_1] \text{ and } \int f_u\phi(w^0) \in [K,k_2]\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\int \phi(u^0) f_w \in [K, k_1] \iff \int f_w \in [\phi(u^0)^{-1} K, k_1] \tag{21}$$

which in turn is equivalent to $w \in \mathcal{Q}_{k_1}((u^0)^{-1}L)$, which is an element of $\mathcal{QB}$. We now proceed with the second condition. We have $\int f_u \phi(w^0) \in [K, k_2]$ if, and only if, there is a set

$$I \subseteq \mathrm{Sup}(f_u) := \{m \in M \mid f_u(m) \neq 0\}$$

with

- $\int_I f_u = k_2$;
- $m\phi(w^0) \in K$ for each $m \in I$;
- $m\phi(w^0) \notin K$ for each $m \notin I$.

Observe that $m\phi(w^0) \in K$ if, and only if, $w \in {\phi_0}^{-1}(m^{-1}K)$. Thus we have that $\{w \in A^* \mid \int f_u \phi(w^0) \in [K, k_2]\}$ is equal to

$$\bigcup_{\substack{I \subseteq \mathrm{Sup}(f_u) \\ \int_I f_u = k_2}} \left([\bigcap_{m \in I} {\phi_0}^{-1}(m^{-1}K)] \cap [\bigcap_{m \in I^c} {\phi_0}^{-1}(m^{-1}K^c)] \right) \tag{22}$$

which is in $\mathcal{B}_0$. □

Corollary 6.3. *The dual space of $\mathcal{B}'$ is a closed subspace of $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}X \times X$. In particular, $\mathcal{B}'$ is recognised as a Boolean algebra by $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}X \times X$.*

Proof. By the previous proposition, $\mathcal{B}' = <\mathcal{QB} \cup \mathcal{B}_0>_{BA}$. But $\mathcal{B}_0$ is exactly the preimage of the dual of X under ϕ_0, and $\mathcal{QB}$ is exactly the preimage of the dual of $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}X$ under ϕ_Q. Thus $\mathcal{B}'$ is exactly the preimage of the dual of $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}X \times X$ under $\Diamond\phi$, and therefore $\mathcal{B}'$ is recognised as a Boolean algebra by $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}X \times X$.

Now, factoring the map $\Diamond\phi$, we obtain a closed subspace Y of $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}X \times X$ so that

$$\Diamond\phi \colon \beta(A^*) \twoheadrightarrow Y \hookrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{S}}X \times X.$$

Since the dual of the quotient map $\beta(A^*) \twoheadrightarrow Y$ is an embedding whose image is $\mathcal{B}'$, the dual of Y is isomorphic to $\mathcal{B}'$. □

6.2 The internal monoid structure of $\Diamond X$ by duality

Now, we want to understand why the actions on $\Diamond X$ are as they are. For this purpose let us recall that the internal monoid in $\Diamond X$ is $\Diamond M = \mathcal{S}M \times M$ and that for $(f, m) \in \Diamond M$, the component of the left action $\lambda(f, m) \colon \Diamond X \to \Diamond X$ is given by its two components:

$$\lambda_1(f, m) \colon \widehat{\mathcal{S}}X \times X \to \widehat{\mathcal{S}}X, \quad (\mu, x) \mapsto m\mu + \int fx,$$

and

$$\lambda_2(f, m) \colon \widehat{\mathcal{S}}X \times X \to X, \quad (\mu, x) \mapsto mx.$$

We will show by duality that this is the appropriate action on $\Diamond X$ for making $\Diamond\phi$ a BiM morphism. For this purpose, we consider the homomorphism dual to $\Diamond\phi$:

$$\varphi \colon \widehat{B} + B \to \mathcal{P}(A^*),\ [K, k] \mapsto {\phi_Q}^{-1}([K, k]),\ K \mapsto {\phi_0}^{-1}(K).$$

We already know, by Proposition 6.2, that the image of φ is closed under quotients. The point is, in fact, that Proposition 6.2 tells us that we can define a biaction on $\widehat{B} + B$ so that φ becomes a homomorphism of

Boolean algebras with biactions. Thus, for each $(f, m) \in \Diamond M$, we want to define a "left quotient" by (f, m) (that is, the component at (f, m) of a right action) on $\widehat{B} + B$ (and a "right quotient", which is a left action) so that φ becomes a homomorphism of Boolean algebras with biactions.

The monoid morphism from A^* to $\Diamond M$ is given by sending the internal monoid element $u \in A^*$ to the internal monoid element $(f_u, \phi(u^0))$ in $\mathcal{S}M \times M$, where f_u is defined as in (20). Now the component at (f, m) of a "left quotient" operation on $\widehat{B} + B$ is a homomorphism

$$\Lambda(f, m)\colon \widehat{B} + B \to \widehat{B} + B.$$

Given the nature of coproducts, such a homomorphism is determined by its components $\Lambda_1(f, m)\colon \widehat{B} \to \widehat{B}+B$ and $\Lambda_2(f, m)\colon B \to \widehat{B} + B$. Our goal then, is to show that:

- the computation of quotient operations in the image of φ combined with wanting φ to be a morphism of Boolean algebras with biactions, dictates what $\Lambda_1(f, m)$ and $\Lambda_2(f, m)$ must be;
- $\Lambda_1(f, m)$ is dual to $\lambda_1(f, m)$ and $\Lambda_2(f, m)$ is dual to $\lambda_2(f, m)$.

The symmetric facts for the right action are similar and thus we only consider the left action. Also, note that we will not prove directly that the $\Lambda(f, m)$'s that we define are components of a right action on a Boolean algebra, as this will follow from the second bullet point above since we have seen in Section 5 that λ is a left action on the dual space.

So, we want to define the action such that φ becomes a homomorphism sending the action of $(f_u, \phi(u^0))$ to the action of the quotient operation $u^{-1}(\ )$ on $\mathcal{P}(A^*)$. The computations in the proof of Proposition 6.2 tell us the components of ${u^{-1}\phi_Q}^{-1}([K, k])$ in $\mathcal{QB}$ and in $\mathcal{B}_0$, respectively. Since $\mathcal{QB}$ and $\mathcal{B}_0$ are precisely the images under φ of $\widehat{B}$ and B, respectively, the computation tells us how to define $\Lambda_1(f_u, \phi(u^0))$ using components $\Lambda_{11}(f, m)\colon \widehat{B} \to \widehat{B}$ and $\Lambda_{12}(f, m)\colon \widehat{B} \to B$.

By the computation in (21), we have that the component $\Lambda_{11}(f, m)\colon \widehat{B} \to \widehat{B}$ depends only on the second coordinate of the pair $(f_u, \phi(u^0))$ and it sends $[K, k]$ to $[(\phi(u^0))^{-1}K, k]$. Stating it for an arbitrary element $(f, m) \in \mathcal{S}M \times M$, we have that

$$\Lambda_{11}(f, m)\colon \widehat{B} \to \widehat{B},\ [K, k] \mapsto [m^{-1}K, k].$$

Similarly, the computation in (22), stated for an arbitrary element $(f, m) \in \mathcal{S}M \times M$, yields $\Lambda_{12}(f, m)\colon \widehat{B} \to B$ given by

$$[K, k] \mapsto \bigcup_{\substack{I \subseteq \mathrm{Sup}(f) \\ \int_I f = k}} \left([\bigcap_{n \in I} n^{-1}K] \cap [\bigcap_{n \in I^c} n^{-1}K^c] \right). \tag{23}$$

The above observations imply that

Proposition 6.4. *The map $\varphi\colon \widehat{B} + B \to \mathcal{P}(A^*)$ is a homomorphism of Boolean algebras with actions when we define the left quotient operation $\Lambda(f, m)$ of $\widehat{B} + B$ on $\widehat{B}$ by*

$$\Lambda_1(f, m)\colon [K, k] \mapsto \bigvee_{k_1 + k_2 = k} (\Lambda_{11}([K, k_1]) \wedge \Lambda_{12}([K, k_2]))$$

and on B by $\Lambda_2(f, m)\colon K \mapsto m^{-1}K$.

It is now an easy verification that the maps $\Lambda_{11}(f, m)$ and $\Lambda_{12}(f, m)$ are dual to the summands of the first component of the action of (f, m) on $\Diamond X$, and that $\Lambda_1(f, m)$ and $\Lambda_2(f, m)$ are dual to $\lambda_1(f, m)$ and $\lambda_2(f, m)$ as defined in Section 5, respectively.

Lemma 6.5. *The homomorphism $\Lambda_{11}(f,m)\colon \widehat{B} \to \widehat{B}$ given by $[K,k] \mapsto [m^{-1}K,k]$ is dual to the continuous function $\lambda_{11}(f,m)\colon \widehat{\mathcal{S}}X \to \widehat{\mathcal{S}}X$ given by $\mu \mapsto m\mu$, where*

$$m\mu\colon B \to S,\ K \mapsto \mu(m^{-1}K).$$

Proof. The function $\lambda_{11}(f,m)$ is dual to $\Lambda_{11}(f,m)$ if and only if, for all $\mu \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}X$ and all $[K,k] \in \widehat{B}$ we have

$$\lambda_{11}(f,m)\mu \in [K,k] \quad \iff \quad \mu \in \Lambda_{11}(f,m)[K,k].$$

But $\lambda_{11}(f,m)\mu = m\mu$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{11}(f,m)\mu \in [K,k] \quad &\iff \quad m\mu \in [K,k] \\ &\iff \quad m\mu(K) = k \\ &\iff \quad \mu(m^{-1}K) = k \\ &\iff \quad \mu \in [m^{-1}K,k] = \Lambda_{11}(f,m). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 6.6. *The homomorphism $\Lambda_{12}(f,m)\colon \widehat{B} \to B$ given as in (23) is dual to the continuous function $\lambda_{12}(f,m)\colon X \to \widehat{\mathcal{S}}X$ given by $x \mapsto \int fx$, where*

$$\textstyle\int fx\colon B \to S,\ K \mapsto \int_{Kx} f.$$

Proof. Let $x \in X$ and $[K,k] \in \widehat{B}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \textstyle\int fx \in [K,k] \quad &\iff \quad \textstyle\int_K fx = k \\ &\iff \quad \textstyle\int_{Kx} f = k \\ &\iff \quad \displaystyle\sum_{x \in n^{-1}K} f(n) = k, \end{aligned}$$

and the latter is true if, and only if, there exists $I \subseteq \mathrm{Sup}(f)$ with $\int_I f = k$ and $x \in n^{-1}K$ for each $n \in I$ and $x \notin n^{-1}K$ for each $n \notin I$. That is,

$$\textstyle\int fx \in [K,k] \quad \iff \quad x \in \lambda_{12}(f,m)[K,k].$$

□

Lemma 6.7. *The homomorphism $\Lambda_1(f,m)\colon \widehat{B} \to \widehat{B}+B$ given as in Proposition 6.4 is dual to the continuous function $\lambda_1(f,m)\colon \widehat{\mathcal{S}}X \times X \to \widehat{\mathcal{S}}X$ given by $(\mu,x) \mapsto m\mu + \int fx$.*

Proof. Let $(\mu,x) \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}X \times X$ and $[K,k] \in \widehat{B}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1(f,m)(\mu,x) \in [K,k] \quad &\iff \quad \lambda_{11}(f,m)\mu + \lambda_{12}(f,m)x \in [K,k] \\ &\iff \quad \exists k_1,k_2\,(k_1+k_2 = k, \lambda_{11}(f,m)\mu \in [K,k_1], \text{ and } \lambda_{12}(f,m)x \in [K,k_2]) \\ &\iff \quad \exists k_1,k_2\,(k_1+k_2 = k, \mu \in \Lambda_{11}(f,m)[K,k_1], \text{ and } x \in \Lambda_{12}(f,m)[K,k_2]) \\ &\iff \quad (\mu,x) \in \Lambda_1[K,k]. \end{aligned}$$

□

It is straightforward that $\Lambda_2(f,m)\colon K \mapsto m^{-1}K$ is dual to $\lambda_2\colon x \mapsto mx$. We conclude that

Corollary 6.8. *The left quotienting operation Λ on $\widehat{B}+B$ defined in Proposition 6.4 is dual to the left action of $\Diamond M$ on $\Diamond X$.*

A similar result holds of course for the right action. As a consequence, we have

Theorem 6.9. *Let* $\phi\colon (\beta((A\times 2)^*),(A\times 2)^*)\to(X,M)$ *be a* BiM *morphism. Then the* BiM *morphism*

$$\Diamond\phi\colon (\beta(A^*),A^*)\to(\Diamond X,\Diamond M)$$

derived in Section 5.2 is dual to the homomorphism of Boolean algebras with biactions

$$\varphi\colon \widehat{B}+B\to\mathcal{P}(A^*),\ [K,k]\mapsto {\phi_Q}^{-1}([K,k]),\ K\mapsto {\phi_0}^{-1}(K)$$

obtained when we equip $\widehat{B}+B$ *with the biaction of* $\Diamond M$ *as indicated in Proposition 6.4.*

6.3 A Reutenauer theorem for $\Diamond X$

In this last subsection we prove a Reutenauer-like theorem characterising the Boolean algebra closed under quotients generated by all languages recognised by the space $\Diamond X$ with respect to *length preserving* morphisms.

Definition 6.10. *We call a* BiM *morphism* $\psi\colon(\beta(A^*),A^*)\to(\Diamond X,\Diamond M)$ length preserving *provided, for each* $a\in A$*, we have that*

$$\pi_1\circ\psi(a)\colon M\to S$$

is the characteristic function χ_{m_a} *for some single* $m_a\in M$*. That is,* $\pi_1\circ\psi(a)(m_a)=1$ *and* $\pi_1\circ\psi(a)(m)=0$ *for all* $m\in M$ *with* $m\neq m_a$*.*

Recall that, given any BiM morphism $\phi\colon(\beta((A\times 2)^*),(A\times 2)^*)\to(X,M)$, we obtain a BiM morphism

$$\Diamond\phi\colon(\beta(A^*),A^*)\to(\Diamond X,\Diamond M),\quad w\mapsto(\textstyle\int f_w,\phi(w^0)).$$

Note that $f_a:=\pi_1\circ\Diamond\phi(a)=\chi_{m_a}$ where $m_a=\phi(a,1)$, so that $\Diamond\phi$ is length preserving. It is now a matter of a straightforward induction to prove the following proposition.

Proposition 6.11. *Let* X *be a* BiM*. Every length preserving* BiM *morphism* $(\beta(A^*),A^*)\to(\Diamond X,\Diamond M)$ *is of the form* $\Diamond\phi$ *for some* $\phi\colon(\beta((A\times 2)^*),(A\times 2)^*)\to(X,M)$*.*

Proof. Consider an arbitrary length preserving BiM morphism $\psi\colon(\beta(A^*),A^*)\to(\Diamond X,\Diamond M)$. We define $\phi\colon(\beta((A\times 2)^*),(A\times 2)^*)\to(X,M)$ by

$$\begin{aligned}\phi\colon (A\times 2)^*&\to M,\\ (a,0)&\mapsto \pi_2\circ\psi(a)\\ (a,1)&\mapsto m_a\end{aligned}$$

where $m_a\in M$ is such that $\pi_1\circ\psi(a)=\chi_{m_a}$. The universal property of the Stone-Čech compactification guarantees that ϕ is a BiM morphism with the topological component $\tilde{\phi}=\beta\phi$. It now suffices to show that $\psi=\Diamond\phi$ for each $a\in A$:

$$\begin{aligned}\Diamond\phi(a)&=(f_a,\phi_0(a))=(\chi_{\phi(a)},\phi(a,0))\\ &=(\chi_{m_a},\pi_2\circ\psi(a))=(\pi_1\circ\psi(a),\pi_2\circ\psi(a))=\psi(a).\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 6.12. *Let* X *be a* BiM *and let* A *be a finite alphabet. The Boolean subalgebra closed under quotients of* $\mathcal{P}(A^*)$ *generated by all languages over* A *which are recognised by a length preserving* BiM *morphism into* $\Diamond X$ *is generated as a Boolean algebra by the languages over* A *recognised by* X*, and the languages* $\mathcal{Q}_k(L)$ *for* L *a language over* $A\times 2$ *recognised by* X*.*

Proof. Let us denote by $\mathcal{B}''$ the Boolean algebra generated by the languages over A recognised by X and the languages $\mathcal{Q}_k(L)$ for L a language over $A \times 2$ recognised by X.

If $L' \in \mathcal{P}(A^*)$ is recognised by a length preserving BiM morphism $\psi\colon (\beta(A^*), A^*) \to (\Diamond X, \Diamond M)$, then by Proposition 6.11 there is a BiM morphism $\phi\colon (\beta((A \times 2)^*), (A \times 2)^*) \to (X, M)$ such that $\Diamond\phi = \psi$. That is, L' lies in the Boolean algebra called $\mathcal{B}'$ in the beginning of this section. Since $\mathcal{B}' \subseteq \mathcal{B}''$ by Proposition 6.2, we have $L' \in \mathcal{B}''$.

For the reverse containment, if L is a language over $A \times 2$ recognised by X, then $\mathcal{Q}_k(L)$ is recognised by $\Diamond X$ through a length preserving morphism in view of Theorem 5.7. Finally, suppose L is a language over A recognised by $\eta\colon \beta(A^*) \to X$ through the clopen K. Consider any function $\phi\colon \beta((A \times 2)^*) \to M$ satisfying $\phi(a, 0) = \eta(a)$ for each $a \in A$. Then $L = \Diamond\phi^{-1}(\widehat{\mathcal{S}}X \times K)$, showing that L is recognised by $\Diamond X$ through a length preserving morphism. □

7 Conclusion

In this paper we provide a general construction for recognisers which captures the action of quantifier-like operations on languages of words, drawing heavily on a combination of very general categorical tools and more concrete duality theoretic ones. We also contribute the new characterisation of the profinite monad of the free S-semimodule monad for finite and commutative semirings, which generalises our earlier insight that the Vietoris monad on Boolean spaces is the codensity monad of the finite powerset functor.

This paper is a stepping stone towards a long-term research programme of finding meaningful ultrafilter equations that characterise logically defined classes of non-regular languages. The next step is to understand the effect on equations of the constructions introduced here.

The generic development of Section 5 allows this work to be extended to encompass a wider range of operations on languages modelled by rational transducers which, by the Kleene-Schützenberger theorem (see e.g. [18]), admit a matrix representation. Also, the duality-theoretic account in Section 6 leads to a Reutenauer-like characterisation theorem, akin to the one in [10]. It would also be interesting to understand a common framework for our contributions and the recent work [7].

References

[1] J. Adámek, L.-T. Chen, S. Milius, and H. Urbat. Profinite monads, profinite equations and Reiterman's theorem. *CoRR*, abs/1511.02147, 2015.

[2] J. Adámek, L.-T. Chen, S. Milius, and H. Urbat. Profinite monads, profinite equations, and Reiterman's theorem. In *FoSSaCS*, volume 9634 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 531–547. Springer, 2016.

[3] J. Adámek, S. Milius, R. Myers, and H. Urbat. Varieties of languages in a category. In *LICS*, pages 414–425. IEEE Computer Society, 2015.

[4] J. Almeida and P. Weil. Profinite categories and semidirect products. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 123(1):1 – 50, 1998.

[5] M. Bojańczyk. Recognisable languages over monads. In *DLT*, volume 9168 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 1–13. Springer, 2015.

[6] F. Borceux. *Handbook of categorical algebra. 2. , Categories and Structures.* Encyclopedia of mathematics and its applications. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, New York, 1994.

[7] L.-T. Chen and H. Urbat. Schützenberger products in a category. In *DLT*, volume 9840 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 89–101. Springer, 2016.

[8] M. Gehrke, S. Grigorieff, and J.-E. Pin. Duality and equational theory of regular languages. In *ICALP (2)*, volume 5126 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 246–257. Springer, 2008.

[9] M. Gehrke, S. Grigorieff, and J.-E. Pin. A topological approach to recognition. In *ICALP (2)*, volume 6199 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 151–162. Springer, 2010.

[10] M. Gehrke, D. Petrişan, and L. Reggio. The Schützenberger product for syntactic spaces. In *ICALP*, volume 55 of *LIPIcs*, pages 112:1–112:14. Schloss Dagstuhl - Leibniz-Zentrum fuer Informatik, 2016.

[11] J. F. Kennison and D. Gildenhuys. Equational completion, model induced triples and pro-objects. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 1(4):317 – 346, 1971.

[12] A. Kock. Monads on symmetric monoidal closed categories. *Journal of The Australian Mathematical Society*, 21:1–10, 1970.

[13] T. Leinster. Codensity and the ultrafilter monad. *Theory and Applications of Categories*, pages 332–370, 2013.

[14] S. Mac Lane. *Categories for the working mathematician*, volume 5 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1998.

[15] R. McNaughton and S. Papert. *Counter-free automata*. The M.I.T. Press, Cambridge, Mass.-London, 1971.

[16] E. Michael. Topologies on spaces of subsets. *Trans Amer. Math. Soc.*, 71:152–182, 1951.

[17] J.-E. Pin and J. Sakarovitch. Some operations and transductions that preserve rationality. In *Proceedings of the 6th GI-Conference on Theoretical Computer Science*, pages 277–288, London, UK, UK, 1982. Springer-Verlag.

[18] J. Sakarovitch. *Elements of Automata Theory*. Cambridge University Press, New York, NY, USA, 2009.

[19] M. H. Stone. The theory of representations for Boolean algebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 40(1):37–111, 1936.

[20] H. Straubing and D. Thérien. Modular quantifiers. In *Logic and Automata*, volume 2 of *Texts in Logic and Games*, pages 613–628. Amsterdam University Press, 2008.

[21] H. Straubing, D. Thérien and W. Thomas. Regular languages defined with generalized quantifiers. In *Information and Computation*, 118(2):289–301, 1995.

[22] R. Street. The formal theory of monads. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 2(2):149 – 168, 1972.

[23] P. Tesson and D. Thérien. Logic meets algebra: the case of regular languages. *Logical Methods in Computer Science*, 3(1), 2007.